

EGG-M--90020

DE90 006949

IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION
OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
SPECIAL-CASE RADIOACTIVE WASTE^a

R. E. Williams and D. E. Kudera
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
EG&G Idaho, Inc.
Idaho Falls, ID 83415

FEB 23 1990

ABSTRACT

This paper identifies and characterizes Department of Energy (DOE) special-case radioactive wastes. Included in this paper are descriptions of the special-case waste categories and their volumes and curie contents, as well as discussions of potential methods for management of these special-case wastes. Work on extensive inventories of DOE-titled special-case waste are still in progress.

INTRODUCTION

With the issuance of Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5820.2A in September 1988, the Department of Energy enacted a comprehensive plan for managing radioactive wastes at all DOE facilities. The Order was developed to address three major categories of radioactive waste: high-level, low-level, and transuranic. However, not all wastes fit the criteria of the three major radioactive waste types. Some wastes may have characteristics of more than one of the major waste types; others may have additional characteristics that prevent them from being managed as typical high-level, low-level, or transuranic waste. Wastes with such characteristics pose potential problems to generators, handlers, and disposal facility operators. DOE has termed these wastes special-cases wastes. Special-case wastes do not fit into typical management plans developed for the three major waste types and therefore, may require special management and disposal schemes.

Because of these special considerations, DOE-Headquarters (HQ) has requested that all existing and potential special-case wastes be identified so that future management planning and funding requirements can be determined. In addition, DOE-HQ has requested that all commercially held, DOE-owned radioactive materials be identified so that it may be included in the management strategy. The Low-Level Waste Technical Support Program (LLWTSP), managed by EG&G Idaho, Inc., is responsible for the special-case waste identification task.

BACKGROUND

The DOE Order 5820.2A, Radioactive Waste Management, establishes requirements for managing all DOE radioactive wastes. The three major classes of radioactive waste identified in the Order are high-level, low-level, and transuranic. Management practices related to storage, treatment, and disposal for each waste class are established to protect public health and safety.

^aWork performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy, DOE Contract No. DE-AC07-76ID01570.

Some wastes may have characteristics, or pose institutional problems, that prevent them from being managed as typical high-level, low-level, or transuranic wastes. Such wastes have been designated special-case wastes. DOE Order 5820.2A establishes that disposal systems designed for special-case wastes require a specific performance assessment through the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, and concurrence of DOE-HQ. For this reason, special-case wastes are primarily those wastes that have limited or no planned disposal alternatives.

Special-case wastes include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following categories:

- Low-level wastes (LLW) that contains radioisotopes in concentrations that a site could not dispose of and still meet its risk-based performance objectives
- Wastes that exceed the limits shown for Class C waste in Tables 1 and 2 of 10 CFR 61.55
- Transuranic (TRU) wastes that cannot be disposed of at DOE's Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)
- TRU wastes that do not meet requirements of the payload compliance plan for the TRUPACT-II shipping container
- Nuclear fuel and fuel debris used for research purposes
- Excess nuclear materials at or near the economic discard limit (EDL) that cannot be safely or economically recovered.

Special-case wastes that have currently been identified include mixed wastes. Mixed wastes contain a hazardous waste constituent that is regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). However, for the purposes of this project, the radiological waste management restraints will serve as the primary criteria for distinguishing special-case wastes.

Special-case wastes require special treatment, storage, and disposal schemes. Accordingly, the DOE has required that all existing and potential special-case waste be identified and characterized. Comprehensive data are necessary to develop technical alternatives for both reuse or recovery of all valuable nuclear materials, and waste management strategies that are cost effective and protective of public health and safety..

A preliminary survey, using DOE-HQ memorandum requests for information, to identify special-case wastes began in July 1988. All field offices were requested to provide information concerning greater-than-Class C (GTCC) wastes received from NRC licensees and non-TRU GTCC wastes generated at DOE facilities. This effort was completed in April 1989, with the conclusion that a physical survey of the sites was necessary to gather information on all special-case wastes and excess nuclear materials that are potential wastes.

EXCESS NUCLEAR MATERIALS BACKGROUND

DOE facilities may have nuclear materials that are no longer needed but not necessarily considered a waste. Such materials are termed excess nuclear materials. Excess nuclear materials are nuclear materials with potential value for either reuse, or recovery of the special nuclear materials (SNM) fraction. Excess nuclear materials are generally unirradiated nuclear materials; however, they may also include irradiated materials or materials containing decay products.

Unirradiated excess nuclear materials are routinely evaluated against the EDL. The EDL is the concentration of nuclear material in residues below which the nuclear material cannot be economically recovered; i.e., as compared to the cost of producing new material. Materials above the EDL are called "scrap" nuclear materials and processed to recover the useable SNM. Materials at or near the EDL that cannot be safely or economically recovered are called "excess" nuclear materials. Therefore, unless a useful purpose for the material is determined, or a safe and economical recovery process is developed, excess nuclear materials can become special-case wastes.

The uncertain waste management options and rising cost of managing special-case wastes may require that all excess nuclear materials, that would otherwise be special-case wastes, be evaluated for recovery of useable nuclear material. This includes unirradiated nuclear materials slightly below the EDL, irradiated nuclear materials, and nuclear materials that contain decay products. This evaluation should compare waste management costs with the cost of new production, and the cost of recovering the useable nuclear materials.

Recovery of the SNM in excess materials that would otherwise be declared waste could significantly reduce waste management costs because the resultant waste form would no longer be a special-case waste. The reduction in costs may offset the increased costs of recovery as opposed to new SNM production. Even if the cost is higher, the greatest benefit is the recycling of valuable nuclear materials and the environmental benefits of a less toxic waste form.

DOE SPECIAL-CASE WASTE SURVEY

The Low-Level Waste Technical Support Program (LLWTSP) began the DOE site surveys in August 1989. Site visits conducted as part of the survey included making contacts with waste management, materials management, and any facility managers that could have special-case wastes or excess nuclear materials. Site visits were completed in November 1989, and a preliminary data report will be completed in March 1990.

Site visits to the major DOE facilities have resulted in identification of most special-case wastes, however, many wastes are still poorly characterized. Adequate waste characterization is required for identification of proper waste treatment, storage, and disposal. All wastes must be adequately characterized to determine if they meet the performance objectives of the disposal site.

In addition to the DOE site surveys, information was needed on nuclear materials owned by DOE and held by universities or other federal agencies.

This information was obtained from the University Reactor Assistance Program (URAP) and the Nuclear Materials Loan Program (NMLP).

In February 1989, the URAP began a survey of 465 universities that could be holding DOE loaned, leased, and/or granted nuclear material. The purpose of the survey was to forecast future material returns, determine disposal constraints, and project the required funding. This effort is scheduled to be completed in March 1990.

The NMLP manages loans of nuclear materials to federal agencies, primarily plutonium in the form of plutonium-beryllium neutron sources. In order to assist with the DOE-HQ special-case waste inventory effort, NMLP requested that all current loan holders provide detailed material descriptions and forecast information on material returns. These data have been included in the current inventory summary.

DOE SPECIAL-CASE WASTE DATA

At least 10,000 m³ of special-case wastes exist. Special-case wastes include a wide variety of forms and isotopic mixtures. These wastes are primarily either performance assessment limiting, or transuranic wastes that are not qualified for disposal at WIPP. Most of the special-case wastes are not well characterized. Characterization efforts were limited because the requirements were minimal, waste forms were difficult to sample and costly to analyze, and as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) considerations restricted detailed examination of the waste.

A summary of the special-case waste data collected as of December 1989, is shown in Table I. This is data from approximately sixty percent of the facilities that were requested to supply data.

TABLE I. PRELIMINARY SPECIAL-CASE WASTE INVENTORY DATA

<u>Waste Category</u>	<u>Volume (m³)</u>	<u>Curies</u>
Non-certifiable defense TRU	1,149	4,070
Non-defense TRU	1,216	113,240
GTCC comparable	54	965,310
Performance assessment limiting	744	9,070
Fuel and fuel debris	383	14,536,000
Uncharacterized	19	Unknown
Excess nuclear materials	42	2,770
Sealed sources	[3,549]	2,022,833
DOE-titled, held by others	[1,027]	9,789

[] indicates number of items, primarily sealed sources

The following is a description of the specific special-case waste categories and summary data obtained as of December 1989.

Non-Certifiable Defense TRU Waste

Non-certifiable defense TRU wastes are DOE Defense Programs generated transuranic wastes that are not certifiable for disposal in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) or cannot be transported in the TRUPACT-II shipping container. These are special-case wastes because, without WIPP acceptance, they have no present disposal options. In order to be approved for WIPP, the wastes must be made certifiable to the WIPP waste acceptance criteria (WAC) and packaged for the TRUPACT-II container.

As of December 1989, the preliminary data for non-certifiable defense TRU wastes indicate an existing volume of 1,149 m³ containing 4,070 Ci of activity. In addition to activity from transuranic isotopes, some of the wastes also contains mixed activation products and/or mixed fission products. Examples of these wastes include: (1) wastes resulting from the decontamination and decommissioning of hot cells, (2) solidified and non-solidified sludge, (3) large metallic structures containing or contaminated with transuranium elements, and (4) large pieces of alpha-contaminated equipment that require remote handling.

Wastes resulting from hot cell decommissioning contain a wide variety of wastes depending on the various uses of the hot cell. They include combustibles, non-combustibles, pieces of metal, equipment, and glass. Some waste packages contain liquids and/or oils.

Hot cell wastes that are non-certifiable are primarily packaged wastes that could not be packaged for transport in the TRUPACT-II shipping container. These packages contain large objects that are impractical to reduce in size. The same is also true for large pieces of equipment and large metallic structures. Such wastes would require a special handling facility for waste reduction and repackaging in order to meet all applicable requirements.

There are several instances of wastes that contain oils and other organic liquids. Alpha radiolysis on organic liquids can cause a high rate of gas generation in the packages, which precludes shipment in the TRUPACT-II and disposal at WIPP.

Non-solidified sludges may contain particulates in excess of the WIPP WAC. These wastes could be made certifiable with solidification by cement. The solidification process would increase the waste volume and render it LLW. However, the waste may remain a special-case as a GTCC or performance assessment limiting waste.

Non-certifiable defense TRU wastes are those that are impractical to certify and for which there are no current plans for reprocessing. Some of these wastes contain potentially valuable amounts of SNM. If a recovery scheme were available, the resultant wastes might be reclassified as recoverable scrap or residue and no longer be special-case wastes. A facility may be required that can perform size reduction of very large metal items, some of which require remote handling.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views

Non-Defense TRU Waste

Non-defense TRU wastes are DOE-titled TRU wastes generated by a DOE Nuclear Energy Program or an NRC licensee contracted to DOE. Although these are TRU wastes, they can not be disposed of at the WIPP because only defense TRU waste can be accepted for disposal in accordance with current regulations.

As of December 1989, the preliminary data for non-defense TRU wastes indicate an existing volume of 1,216 m³ containing 113,240 Ci of activity. In addition to activity from transuranic isotopes, some of the wastes also contain mixed activation products and/or mixed fission products. Examples of these wastes include: HEPA filters from hot cells, dewatered ion-exchange resin, wastes containing americium, a reactor vessel, submerged demineralizer systems, and wastes generated from the decontamination and decommissioning of fuel fabrication facilities. These wastes are usually packaged to meet storage criteria similar to the WIPP waste acceptance criteria, however, they are not necessarily well characterized.

The non-defense TRU wastes are essentially orphans because there is no designated location for their disposal. As with non-certifiable defense TRU, some of this waste requires repackaging and/or size reduction to meet the final WAC. Recovery of any valuable nuclear material fractions should also be considered. Future management of these wastes may involve disposal at the WIPP or in a greater confinement disposal facility with acceptable performance objective limits.

GTCC Comparable Waste

GTCC comparable waste is DOE-titled waste that contains radionuclides in concentrations greater than those shown for Class C waste in Tables 1 and 2 of 10 CFR 61.55. Since this regulation applies only to waste that is NRC regulated, the DOE-titled waste that meets the same criteria is called "GTCC comparable". This is a special-case waste because it is not generally acceptable for near surface disposal. As established in DOE Order 5820.2A, disposal of GTCC wastes requires a specific performance assessment through the NEPA process and the concurrence of DOE-HQ.

As of December 1989, the preliminary data for GTCC comparable wastes indicate an existing volume of 54 m³ containing 965,310 Ci of activity. The majority of this activity comes from mixed fission products. There are also some transuranic isotopes present. GTCC comparable wastes include items such as: Sr-90 Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators (RTG); Three Mile Island-2 Submerged Demineralizer System (SDS) liners; hot cell wastes; equipment contaminated with alpha and mixed fission products that also contain activation products; and moist ion exchange resins, sludges, and powders that contain uranium and Tc-99. Such wastes are packaged to meet the storage criteria of the generator site waste acceptance criteria, however, most are not well characterized.

The GTCC comparable wastes may be disposed of by greater confinement disposal if they meet the site performance objective limits. Essential to this disposal scheme is adequate waste characterization, which is necessary for the performance assessment. Some of this waste may need repackaging and/or size

reduction to meet the final performance assessment criteria and/or the transportation requirements.

Performance Assessment Limiting Waste

Performance assessment limiting wastes are DOE-titled LLW that contain concentrations of radionuclides that exceed the site specific performance assessment limits for disposal. These are special-case wastes because they cannot be disposed of at the site of generation. The organizations that now store performance assessment limiting wastes have limited options for their disposal.

As of December 1989, the preliminary data for performance assessment limiting wastes indicate an existing volume of 744 m³ containing 9,070 Ci of activity. The majority of this activity is in two packages that contain absorbed tritiated liquid. There is also a significant amount of activity from mixed fission products and radium-226. Current data also indicate as much as 10,000 m³ of performance assessment limiting waste will be generated within the next 5 years. That waste will primarily be defense wastes that are determined to contain transuranic radionuclides below the 100 nCi/g limit.

Existing performance assessment limiting wastes include: absorbed tritiated liquid, hot cell wastes from destructive examination of fuels, sludge containing mixed fission products, ion exchange resins containing transuranics, gauges and dials containing radium-226, and moist uranium solids and associated decay products. These wastes are usually packaged to meet storage criteria of the generator site. However, many of these wastes are not well characterized or packaged in a manner suitable for disposal. Performance assessment limiting wastes may be disposed of by the same methods used for GTCC comparable wastes. These wastes may also be shipped to a site that has suitable performance objective limits for their disposal.

Fuel and Fuel Debris

This category includes DOE-titled fuel and fuel debris wastes. The fuel and fuel debris are similar to the material that is destined for the High Level Waste (HLW) repository. However, most of it is in packaging configurations that are different from normal commercial fuel elements and may not meet a HLW repository WAC. Since the final disposal method and location for these wastes have not been determined, they are considered special-case wastes.

As of December 1989, the preliminary data for fuel and fuel debris indicate an existing volume of 383 m³ containing 14,536,000 Ci of activity. The majority of the activity comes from mixed fission products but there are some transuranic isotopes present.

Fuel and fuel debris wastes contain items such as: material from the core of the TMI-2 reactor; fuel from various DOE test reactors; and fuel and debris from various DOE research and development projects. These wastes are stored in water pools and dry storage casks and are usually well characterized. However, additional characterization, processing, or packaging may be very costly due to the high levels of radiation in the wastes.

Fuel and fuel debris wastes may finally be disposed of at the HLW repository. However, this has not been decided and the acceptance criteria for disposal has not been determined. Therefore, these wastes require safe, long-term storage. Water pools deteriorate and require periodic maintenance. The advantages and disadvantages of dry storage configurations should be evaluated to determine the safety and cost effectiveness of long-term storage of this type of waste.

Uncharacterized Waste

Any containers of waste with unknown contents were included in this category. These wastes were suspected to contain nuclear materials at or near the limits of GTCC or TRU wastes. Current data indicate an existing volume of 19 m³ of uncharacterized waste. The approximate curies of activity are not known. Further characterization of these wastes are planned.

Excess Nuclear Materials

As of December 1989, preliminary data indicate an existing volume of 42 m³ of excess nuclear materials containing 2,770 Ci of activity. The majority of this activity comes from transuranic isotopes. There are also some uranium isotopes present.

Excess nuclear materials that have been identified include items such as 6-ft diameter metal spheres that contain plutonium isotopes, uranium hexafluoride gas cylinders from isotope separation research, and items in vaults that are suspected to contain significant quantities of fissionable uranium isotopes. These materials have not been packaged for disposal and in some cases, are not well characterized. If these materials are declared waste, the large metal spheres will need to be cut up so that they can be placed into standard disposal containers.

Many of the excess nuclear materials identified in this effort are either no longer useful to the present custodians, or require processing to recover the usable nuclear materials. Therefore, these materials may soon be considered special-case wastes. Most of the materials identified are above the economic discard limit but a process does not exist for recovery of the usable materials. There are some materials that contain RCRA-regulated constituents, which prevent processing because the recovery facility is not RCRA permitted. Dispositioning these excess materials will require coordinated effort between waste management and nuclear material processing organizations. An economic alternatives assessment is needed to compare the waste management options and materials recovery options for these materials.

Sealed Sources

Sealed sources are encapsulated radioactive material whose main purpose is the generation of known amounts of radiation. These sources are of special interest because the concentrations of their radioactive material usually make them GTCC comparable or performance assessment limiting waste at the time of their disposal.

As of December 1989, preliminary data for sealed sources indicate an existing total of 3,549 sources containing 2,022,833 Ci of activity. More than 30

different radioactive isotopes are used as sealed sources. The isotopes Co-60, Sr-90, Cs-137, Pu-238 and 239, Am-241, and Cf-252 are present in the majority of the sources. However, Se-75, Sb-124, Pm-147, Tl-204 and many other isotopes are also present.

Most of the sealed sources are very small. However, substantial shielding is required for packaging and that increases the size of the disposal package. Sealed sources are usually very well characterized. A plan for sealed sources should be developed to include a central location for storing sources for potential reuse or recovery.

Disposal of sealed sources will depend upon the performance objectives of the disposal site. Remote handling capability may also be required in order to avoid disposal of any lead shielding used for source packaging.

University Reactor Assistance Program (URAP) - Status of Nuclear Material

The URAP was established to coordinate the use of nuclear materials leased or loaned from DOE to universities. A recent survey of the universities has identified 814 items, containing 4,011 Ci, at 262 universities. Approximately half of those items are owned by the DOE. These items include sealed sources, fuel elements, fission chambers, and uranium slugs. Forty-three universities have requested DOE to accept the return of 143 of these items.

Nuclear Materials Loan Program (NMLP) - Status of Nuclear Material

The NMLP was established to manage loans of nuclear materials from DOE to federal agencies. Current data indicate 213 items, containing 5,778 Ci, are on loan. These items are mostly sealed sources containing plutonium or americium. Eleven federal agencies have requested to return 66 sources.

CONCLUSIONS

Management of special-case wastes involves technical, institutional, and regulatory issues. A DOE system-wide approach is being used to determine management alternatives that are cost effective, protect the environment, and protect public health and safety. Collaboration between DOE's Defense, Nuclear Energy, and Environmental Programs is necessary to resolve management issues concerning special-case wastes.

An immediate issue is the need for more detailed characterization of special-case wastes. In the past, characterization was conducted to alleviate operational safety concerns, and usually resulted only in the identification of major radioactive constituents. Additional characterization is now required for waste classification, disposal performance assessment, establishing treatment requirements, and determining economic viability of recovery of valuable nuclear materials.

Treatment facilities are planned for processing and certification of TRU wastes. However, such facilities may not have the capacity or capability to process all special-case wastes. Special-case wastes mixed with RCRA-regulated materials may require the development of best demonstrated available technology (BDAT) in order to meet RCRA land disposal restrictions (LDR). Regionalized treatment may be the an economical answer for the

relatively limited (i.e., as compared to LLW, TRU, and HLW) quantity of special-case wastes.

There currently are no urgent storage problems but continued development of RCRA-permitted storage areas must proceed. Increased emphasis on storage options, such as dry cask storage, may be needed for fuel and fuel debris wastes.

The most critical issue for special-case wastes is the lack of disposal options. Performance assessment limiting wastes must be disposed of in an environment wherein conditions meet comprehensive performance objective limits. A disposal system performance assessment is even more critical for disposal of GTCC, TRU, and fuel and fuel debris wastes. Comparable disposal systems for these special-case wastes are geologic repositories, such as WIPP, and the HLW repository.

Excess materials and special-case wastes that contain potentially valuable quantities of SNM require an economic assessment for recovery of nuclear materials. DOE environmental policies urge the recycling of all useable materials and minimization of wastes. From both an environmental and long-term economic standpoint, development of nuclear material recovery technology is justified.

A routine procedure does not exist for the return of DOE leased and loaned nuclear materials. Certain materials are difficult to return because those materials may have limited future uses. The major problem is the limited need for reuse of these materials and the lack of available recovery methods. A procedure is needed to ensure that material ownership is documented, a mechanism is delineated for the material's return, and once returned an economic evaluation is made for reuse, recovery, and waste management.

Following is a summary of some plans and projects that need to be pursued to establish a policy for management of special-case wastes:

- Develop requirements for waste characterization of DOE-titled wastes
- Establish facilities for size reduction of large metal objects
- Establish a transportation method for special-case wastes
- Evaluate the possibility of disposing of non-defense TRU waste at the WIPP
- Evaluate the use of dry storage for long-term storage of fuel and fuel debris wastes
- Establish a policy for return, reuse, recovery, and/or waste management of sealed sources
- Establish an excess materials policy for determining a material's potential for recovery or if a material should be declared waste.