

**THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE
THE HIGHEST QUALITY AVAILABLE**

INITIAL FR DATE 9/1/04

**DECISION DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE
COVER SHEET**

Prepared in accordance with

**TRACK 1 SITES:
GUIDANCE FOR ASSESSING
LOW PROBABILITY HAZARD SITES
AT THE INEEL**

Site Description: **Shallow Injection Wells Located at INTEC**

Site ID: CPP-102, CPP-103, CPP-109, CPP-110

Operable Unit: 3-13

Waste Area Group: 3

I. SUMMARY – Physical description of the site:

In January 2003, Bechtel BWXT Idaho, LLC (BBWI) completed an evaluation of 36 shallow injection wells (SIWs) located at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL). This evaluation presented the status and the abandonment plan for each SIW and was approved by the Idaho Department of Water Resources.¹ These 36 SIWs included nine located at the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center (INTEC) facility with the abandonment plan to include evaluation under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).

To facilitate the evaluation of the SIWs, this Track 1 document evaluates four of the nine SIWs that received similar waste during their operation. Specifically, these four wells received discharges of steam condensate from the steam system at INTEC. The INTEC steam system consisted of two boiler plants (CPP-606 and -687), which supplied steam to various buildings and installations throughout INTEC. CPP-606, the Service Building Powerhouse, is still in service; while CPP-687, the Coal Fired Boiler House, was in operation from 1984 until 1999. Information associated with buildings and systems that discharged to the individual wells is described below. The other five wells will be evaluated under a separate Track 1 Decision Document.

Various names and numbers are used to identify these SIWs. For identification purposes, they are identified by the CERCLA site number, followed by the Record Number – Facility number, the IDWR record number, then the well name in parentheses.

CPP-102; 4-CPP; #54; (CPP-621-4)

This SIW is north of Building CPP-617 and south of the Fluorine Dissolution Process and Fuel Start (FAST) hydrofluoric acid tank (CPP-727) (see Attachment 1). This well received condensate from Building CPP-607's heating system and was believed to have received condensate from a steam line used to steam trace the hydrofluoric acid tank. Steam heated tracing systems are used to keep chemicals flowing freely in all weather. The SIW is a precast concrete manhole with a 6-in. drain hole cored through the manhole bottom. It is not longer in use and there are no plans for future use. All piping leading to the SIW from CPP-607 and CPP-727 was removed and it has been fitted with a manhole cover (MAH-CS-LS-091).

CPP-103; no Record Number-Facility; no IDWR Record Number; (MAH-CA-CT-319)

This SIW was located south of Building CPP-656 and north of Building CPP-665 (see Attachment 2). It served Building CPP-665, a 19,200-ft² office building currently planned for deactivation and demolition. The SIW received steam condensate from the heating and ventilation equipment located inside CPP-665 and was placed in inactive status during 2001. During the construction project to deactivate CPP-665, the SIW was removed, the condensate line was partially removed and capped, and the area was backfilled with gravel. Building CPP-665 is scheduled for dismantling during 2004.

I. SUMMARY – Physical description of the site (continued):

CPP-109; 27-ICPP; #67; (CPP-IDHW-67)

This SIW is southwest of the Waste Calcining Facility, CPP-633 (see Attachment 3). It received steam condensate from CPP-633's heating and ventilation system. Building CPP-633, a 17,250-ft² facility, was decommissioned and a closure cap was installed over the building footprint. This SIW was taken out of service and the condensate line leading from the building to the well was disconnected and grouted during the decommissioning and RCRA closure of CPP-633 in 1998.

CPP-110; 33-ICPP; no IDWR number; (CPP-607S).

This SIW was located north of Building CPP-617 and south of Building CPP-607 (see Attachment 4). This well received steam condensate from CPP-607's heating and ventilation system. CPP-607, a 2,560-ft² storage building, was decommissioned and dismantled in 1999–2000. The SIW and piping were removed during the decommissioning process and the area was filled with dirt.

DECISION RECOMMENDATION

II. SUMMARY - Qualitative Assessment of Risk:

The level of reliability for the information collected in this report is moderately to highly reliable.

The hazardous substances discharged to the SIWs were identified based on process knowledge. The process knowledge was from interviews with operators that have operated the system since the 1980s and their knowledge of any previous operations data. Actual data records are not available for the early years of operation for the boiler system.

The concentration for the hazardous constituent was conservatively estimated using process knowledge and did not exceed the risk-based concentration based on the EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals for screening soil.

Therefore, when this information is plotted on the Qualitative Risk and Reliability Evaluation Table, an intersection in the "no action required" portion of the chart is reached.

III. SUMMARY - Consequences of Error:

False negative error:

The false negative decision error would be to conclude that the contaminated soil remaining below these SIWs pose no unacceptable risk to human health and/or the environment, when in fact they do. This decision would result in no further action being taken at the site when action is warranted. The consequences of this would be fewer controls in place to ensure protection of the public and the environment for the chosen remedial alternative (i.e., no further action), when in fact these controls should be in place. Current plans indicate INTEC is planned to remain in industrial use until 2095 and will not be available for residential use after that timeframe.

False positive error:

The false positive error would be to conclude that the contaminated soil remaining below these SIWs poses an unacceptable risk to human health and/or the environment, when in fact it does not. This decision would result in an inappropriate selection of remedial alternatives (i.e., taking action when none is necessary). If action were taken at these low-risk sites, this would result in the unnecessary expenditure of resources that could be used at higher-risk sites. The SIWs are either inactive or have been removed and all discharge pipes to the wells have been sealed or removed.

IV. SUMMARY - Other Decision Drivers:

While there may be minimal risk from leaving the potentially contaminated soil in the ground, if the contaminated soil were excavated and removed, the risk of exposure potential would be increased. Using a conservative analysis, there are no contaminants with concentrations that approach risk levels that would be unacceptable for human health and they are not readily accessible since they reside at a depth of 3 ft. Current plans indicate INTEC is planned to remain in industrial use until 2095 and will not be available for residential use after that timeframe.

Recommended Action:

These four SIWs should be classified as "No Action" sites. Conservative estimates predict that the hazardous constituent's concentration will not exceed EPA Region 9's Preliminary Remediation Goals concentration value. As CERCLA will take no action, these shallow injection wells will be closed under other regulatory programs. Abandonment of these shallow injection wells will be in accordance with the requirements listed in IDAPA 37.03.09.025.012.a. As sites at INTEC that are recommended for "No Action," they will be evaluated during the OU 3-13 5-year review until such a time as they are addressed in a future Record of Decision, as agreed upon with the Agencies.

Signatures:	# Pages: 64	Date: 3/24/03
Prepared By: <i>Joel Bragassa</i>	DOE WAG Manager: <i>Rachel C. Hall</i>	
Approved By: <i>DD Kuhns</i>	Independent Review: <i>Scott H. Womack</i> R. ORS	

**DECISION STATEMENT
(DOE RPM)**

Date Received: *March 25, 2004*

Disposition:

This Track 1 describes four shallow injection wells that received steam condensate from INTEC operations between 1953 and 2001. Records are available for the 1980's to the present but early operations are documented through interviews with personnel familiar with boiler system operations. Corrosion inhibitors and deposit inhibitors containing trisodium phosphate, sodium metabisulfite, cyclohexylamine, diethylethanolamine, morpholine, ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, acrylic polymer and lignosulfonate were used. There is no record or evidence the chromates were used. Only cyclohexylamine, of the known additives, is listed on the EPA Region IX Remediation Goals table. Conservatively estimated concentrations of soil contaminants ~~were~~ compared with risk. The conclusion was no action is required. I support the conclusion and recommend that the wells be abandoned and closed under the state of Idaho's Swallow well abandonment program standards.

Date: *March 25, 2004*

Pages: 64

Name: *Kathleen Hain*

Signature: *Kathleen E Hain*

DECISION STATEMENT
(EPA RPM)

Date Received: 3-30-04

(EM-ER-04-065)

Disposition:

Agree no action required under CERCLA.
Close wells as specified by Idaho
State regulations.

Date: 4-1-04

Name: Dennis Faulkner

Pages: 64

Signature: 

**DECISION STATEMENT
(STATE RPM)**

Date Received:

Disposition:

Track 1 Sites: CP-102, CPP-103, CP-109, and CPP-110.

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, Waste Management and Remediation Division, has determined, based on information presented in the March 2004 *Track 1 Decision Document for the Shallow Injection Wells CP-102, CPP-103, CPP-109, and CPP-110 – (EM-ER-04-065)*, that these sites do not pose an unacceptable risk and should be classified as "No Action Required" under the FFA/CO program.

These shallow injection wells will be closed and therefore subject to abandonment procedures under the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) regulation IDAPA 37.03.09.025.012.a. Conformance with abandonment procedures will be noted during subsequent five-year CERCLA reviews of OU 3-13. The remedial decision for this site will be documented in a future INEEL Record of Decision.

Date: April 27 2004

Pages: 64

Name: Daryl F. Koch

Signature:

Daryl F. Koch

PROCESS/WASTE WORKSHEETID: CPP-102/103/109/110

Col 1 Processes Associated With this Site	Col 2 Waste Description & Handling Procedures	Col 3 Description & Location of any Artifacts/Structures/Disposal Areas Associated with this Waste or Process
(CPP-102) Steam condensate release for Building CPP-607's heat system and steam tracer system VES-CS-169 (hydrofluoric acid tank).	The steam condensate was dispelled to the SIW through a 1-in. line (CTN-106542).	Artifact: The precast concrete manhole is 5–6 ft deep and fitted with a manhole cover (MAH-CS-LS-091). The piping to this well was removed. Location: The well is located north of Building CPP-617 and south of a hydrofluoric acid tank (VES-CS-169). Description: The SIW received steam condensate through a 1-in. condensate line. The condensate was from Building CPP-607's heating system and possibly from steam tracing the transfer line of the hydrofluoric acid tank. The operational life of CPP-607 was from 1953 to 2000. The line coming from the tank was installed in 1983 and removed in the late 1980s.
(CPP-103) Steam condensate release for Building CPP-665's heat system.	The steam condensate was dispelled to the SIW through a 2-in. line (CTN-106483).	Artifact: The SIW was approximately 3 ft deep. The SIW and piping were removed and the area was filled with dirt. Location: The SIW is located south of Building CPP-656 and north of Building CPP-665. Description: The SIW received steam condensate from Building CPP-665's heating system through a 2-in. condensate line during its operational life of 1980 to 2001.
(CPP-109) Steam condensate release for Building CPP-633's heating system.	The steam condensate was dispelled to the SIW through a 2-in. line (CTN-100242).	Artifact: The approximately 3-ft SIW was taken out of service. The piping leading to the well from Building CPP-633 was grouted. Location: The SIW is located southwest of Building CPP-633. Description: The SIW received steam condensate from Building CPP-633's heating system through a 2-in. condensate line during its operational life of 1960 to 1984.

PROCESS/WASTE WORKSHEETID: CPP-102/103/109/110

Col 1 Processes Associated With this Site	Col 2 Waste Description & Handling Procedures	Col 3 Description & Location of any Artifacts/Structures/Disposal Areas Associated with this Waste or Process
(CPP-110) Steam condensate release for Building CPP-607's heat system.	The steam condensate was dispelled to the SIW through a 1-in. line (CT-NN-155275).	Artifact: The SIW was approximately 3- ft deep. The well was removed and filled with dirt. Location: The SIW is located south of Building CPP-607 and north of building CPP-617. Description: The SIW received steam condensate from Building CPP-607's heating system through a 1-in. condensate line during its operational life of 1953 to 2000.

CONTAMINANT WORKSHEET**SITE ID: CPP-102/103/109/110****PROCESS: Steam condensate release for building heating system WASTE: Steam condensate constituents**

Col 4 What Known/Potential Hazardous Substance/Constituents Are Associated with this Waste or Process?	Col 5 Potential Sources Associated with this Hazardous Material	Col 6 Known/Estimated Concentration of Hazardous Substances/ Constituents^a	Col 7 Risk-based Concentration (mg/kg)(HQ=1)^b	Col 8 Qualitative Risk Assessment (hi/med/low)	Col 9 Overall Reliability (high/med/low)
cyclohexylamine	corrosion inhibitor added to steam boiler system	CPP-102 – 1,735 mg/kg CPP-103 – 531 mg/kg CPP-109 – 6,502 mg/kg CPP-110 – 1,735 mg/kg	1.2E+04	Low	High

a. Estimated concentrations are based on the constituent percentage in the boiler system products for the throughput of the boiler system (14.4 million gal/year). Four hundred gallons of Amercor 1848 are used in the system each year and it contains 10-25% cyclohexylamine.

b. Contaminant is listed in the EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals InterCalc tables for soils. The table lists pathway-specific values for soils under the residential and industrial land-use scenarios. The figures provided are for the residential land-use scenario.

QUALITATIVE RISK AND RELIABILITY EVALUATION TABLE			
	QUALITATIVE RISK		
	Low	Medium	High
highly unreliable	TRACK 2		
highly reliable	No Action Required	RIFS	Interim Action
reliability	LOW concentration resulting in risk < 10 ⁻⁶	MEDIUM	HIGH concentration resulting in risk > 10 ⁻⁴
	qualitative risk		

■ Risk from contaminants associated with shallow injection well soils

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 1. What are the waste generation processes, locations, and dates of operation associated with this site?

Block 1 Answer:

(CPP-102) – There are currently no waste generation processes associated with this SIW. It was in service to receive steam condensate discharge from approximately 1953 until CPP-607 was decommissioned in 2000. The steam condensate was piped from CPP-607 and the hydrofluoric acid tank to the SIW via a 1-in. pipe² (see Attachment 5). The line coming from the tank was believed to be installed in 1983, when the tank went into service, and removed in the late 1980s.³ Engineering drawings were not found to support the existence of the steam trace line.

(CPP-103) – There are currently no waste generation processes associated with this SIW. It was in service to receive steam condensate discharge from 1980 until CPP-665's deactivation in 2001. During the deactivation activities, the SIW was removed and the area was filled with dirt. The steam condensate was piped from CPP-665 to the SIW via a 2-in. pipe⁴ (see Attachment 6).

(CPP-109) – There are currently no waste generation processes associated with this SIW. It was in service to receive steam condensate discharge from 1960 until CPP-633's deactivation in 1984. CPP-633 was closed under an approved HWMA RCRA Closure Plan in 1998, and the pipe leading from the building to the SIW was filled with grout during that time. The steam condensate was piped from CPP-633 to the SIW via a 2-in. pipe⁵ (see Attachment 7).

(CPP-110) – There are currently no waste generation processes associated with this SIW. It was in service to receive steam condensate discharge from approximately 1953 until CPP-607 was decommissioned in 2000. The SIW and piping were removed during the decommissioning process and the area was filled with dirt. The steam condensate was piped from CPP-607 to the SIW via a 1-in. pipe⁶ (see Attachment 5).

INTEC Steam Process System

The only waste-generating process associated with each SIW was INTEC's steam heating system. The constituent used in the INTEC boiler system prior to the 1980s was trisodium phosphate. After the 1980s and currently, Amersite 2 (corrosion inhibitor); Advantage Plus 1400 (deposit inhibitor), along with trisodium phosphate; and Amercor 1848 (corrosion inhibitor) are used in the system. The current boiler system operators reported that, to the best of their knowledge, chromates were not used during operation of the system⁷ (see Attachments 8 and 9). In addition, a brine solution with 10% brine concentration is used in the water softener system.⁸

During operation of the boiler system, only the corrosion inhibitor constituents end up in the condensate. The deposit inhibitor stays in the boiler and is flushed down the service waste line after the system is cleaned. Per the material safety data sheets contained in Attachment 11, Amersite 2 contains sodium metabisulfite (30–40%). Amercor 1848 contains cyclohexylamine (10–25%), diethylethanolamine (10–25%), and morpholine (10–25%). Advantage Plus 1400 contains ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid NA salt (1–10%), adrylic polymer (1–10%), sodium lignosulfonate (1–10%), and organic salt (1–10%). None of the chemicals, except cyclohexylamine are listed on the EPA Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals table.⁹ This table provides the risk-based concentrations for soils under residential and industrial land-use scenarios.

Approximately 400 gal of each corrosion inhibitor product are used in the system each year.¹⁰ The system processes 120 million lb of steam each year to heat the various INTEC facilities. Using the water weight conversion factor of 8.33 lb/gal, the system would produce 14.4 million gal of condensate per year.

Based on conservative assumptions related to each building's configuration and all condensate from the heating of the building being considered, a rough order of magnitude estimate for the amount of condensate over the service life of Building CPP-607 is 200,000 to 500,000¹¹ gal; the amount of condensate for Building CPP-665 is 630,000 to 655,000¹² gal; and the amount of condensate for Building CPP-633 is 7,776,000 gal.¹³

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

Interviews with personnel intimately familiar with the boiler system provided the information on the products used in the system as corrosion and deposit inhibitors. The personnel have been familiar with the process starting in the 1980s.

Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

Engineering drawings confirm the SIW and the process associated with the well, along with operating personnel knowledge. Therefore, this information is considered highly reliable.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7,8,10	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2,4,5,6	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 13	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3,9,11,12		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 2. What are the disposal processes, locations, and dates of operation associated with this site? How was the waste disposed?

Block 1 Answer:

There are currently no disposal processes associated with these sites. Steam condensate was dispelled from Building CPP-607 to SIWs CPP-102 and CPP-110 during its operational period, approximately 1953 to 2000. Steam condensate was also dispelled to CPP-102 from CPP-727 (hydrofluoric acid tank) from approximately 1983 to the late 1980s when the line was removed. Steam condensate was dispelled from Building CPP-633 to SIW CPP-109 during its operational period, 1960 to 1984. Steam condensate was dispelled from Building CPP-665 to the SIW CPP-103 during its operational period, 1980 to 2001.¹⁴

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

The INEEL Comprehensive Facility and Land Use Plan identifies the time frame in which the buildings or structures were in service.

Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

The Land Use Plan is supported by various information sources and is considered highly reliable.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2,4,5,6	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 14		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 3. Is there evidence that a source exists at this site? If so, list the sources and describe the evidence.

Block 1 Answer:

There is no evidence that a source exists at these sites. SIWs CPP-103 and -110 have been removed, piping to CPP-102 was removed, and piping to CPP-109 was grouted. Conservative estimates of contaminant concentrations in the soil are based on process knowledge and are below risk-based levels.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

The information regarding the sources of each SIW is well documented and is considered highly reliable. Engineering drawings document the abandonment or grouting of condensate lines running to the SIWs. Reliability of the estimates of contaminant concentrations in soil is high because conservative estimates were used based on process knowledge.

Block 3 Has this information been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

Site observations during 2003 confirm that SIWs CPP-103 and CPP-110 have been filled with clean soil, Building CPP-607 has been removed, and Building CPP-633 has a closure cap installed. Process knowledge was used to conservatively estimate contaminant concentrations in the soil.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2,4,5,6	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/>		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 4. Is there empirical, circumstantial, or other evidence of migration? If so, what is it?

Block 1 Answer:

By its nature, the condensate released to the SIWs would have migrated downward. No soil data are available; however, conservative estimates of soil concentrations based on process knowledge indicate that the soil would not exceed risk-based concentrations at the source; therefore, migration above risk-based levels is also unlikely.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

In review of groundwater monitoring records from the INEEL Environmental Data Warehouse, there is no evidence of contamination from this constituent at the source.¹⁵ The constituent's estimated concentration is well below EPA's Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals for soil at the source; however, no actual sample data is available.

Block 3 Has this information been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

During an ICPP inspection of the COCA units in July 1989, an entry was made regarding EPA questioning the liquid flowing through a pipe to the drain south of building CPP-633. The liquid was sampled and found to be thermally hot, radioactively clean, and close to neutral by the pH paper test.¹⁶

Groundwater monitoring records from the INEEL Environmental Data Warehouse confirm the absence of this contaminant, cyclohexylamine. The Environmental Data Warehouse stores information related to well samples including composite samples taken from multiple wells.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 5. Does site operating or disposal historical information allow estimation of the pattern of potential contamination? If the pattern is expected to be a scattering of hot spots, what is the expected minimum size of a significant hot spot?

Block 1 Answer:

These SIWs received the steam condensate from the associated buildings' heating system. The discharges were released to the SIWs and would have dissipated into the soil beneath the wells moving downward towards bedrock. Historical information does not allow estimation of the pattern of potential contamination; however, as identified in Question #6, the contaminant concentration based on process knowledge is estimated to be below the EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goal for soil.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☐ High ☒ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

The estimated contaminant concentrations are based on actual operating processes. Additionally, conservative figures were used to evaluate the total volume of condensate that would have been dispelled into each SIW. The total volume figure assumed no condensate recovery, evaporation or biodegradation of the constituent, thus all condensate was dispelled to the SIW.

Block 3 Has this information been confirmed? ☐ Yes ☒ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

No information that documents the daily release is available.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2,4,5,6	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9,11,12		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 6. Estimate the length, width, and depth of the contaminated region. What is the known or estimated volume of the source? If this is an estimated volume, explain carefully how the estimate was derived.

Block 1 Answer:

The estimated length, width, and depth of the contaminated region are based on the typical SIW with a 3-ft diameter and migration to a depth below the surface of 10 ft, the residential basement scenario. The contamination zone will be a cone-shaped area under the SIW. A migration slope of 1-ft vertical to 1-ft horizontal was assumed. The following calculations for each building are used to determine the worst-case scenario (i.e. all condensate dispelled over the operational life of the building was contained in the contamination zone). The calculations below provide an estimate of the contaminant concentration for each contamination zone.

Building CPP-665, Shallow Injection Well CPP-103

(1) Calculate the volume of the soil in the cone-shaped area in kilograms:

- Assume cone-shaped contamination zone with the cone being 3-ft diameter at top, 17-ft diameter at the bottom, and 7-ft in height (1:1-ft slope down to 10 ft bgs, zone starts at 3 ft bgs)
- The calculated volume of the cone area using the ABE Volume Calculator¹⁷ = 640 ft³
- Convert cubic feet to cubic centimeter
 $640 \text{ ft}^3 = 18.12 \text{ m}^3 \text{ or } 18,122,105 \text{ cm}^3$
- Calculate grams assuming dry bulk soil density = 1.5 g/cm³
 $(18,122,105 \text{ cm}^3)(1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3) = 27,183,157 \text{ g}$
- Convert to kilograms:
 $27,183,157/1000 = 27,183 \text{ kg}$

(2) Calculate mass in grams of CPP-665's condensate volume:

- Calculate CPP-665's condensate volume per year, range was 630,000 to 655,000 gal for the 21-year operational life, used highest volume for calculation:
 $655,000 \text{ gal} / 21 \text{ years} = 31,190 \text{ gal/year}$
- Then calculate the percentage of CPP-665 condensate volume based on INTEC's boiler system volume per year to determine the volume of condensate attributed to this specific building. The equation is CPP-665's condensate volume (gal/yr) / boiler system output (gal/yr):
 $31,190 \text{ gal} / 14,400,000 \text{ gal} = .0021 = .21\%$
- Next, calculate the contaminant constituent volume used in the INTEC boiler system per year. The constituent represents a wt% of 10–25% of the corrosion inhibitor product. The higher value (25%) was used for this calculation. The equation is the total gallons of the corrosion inhibitor product used per year times the wt% of the constituent in the product:
 $(400 \text{ gal})(.25) = 100 \text{ gal}$
- In order to calculate the number of grams of the constituent used in the INTEC boiler system, the equation is the density of the constituent (referenced in the MERCK Index, 12th Edition¹⁸) times the volume of the constituent times the number of cubic centimeters in a gallon:
 $(\text{density of constituent})(\text{volume of constituent})(\text{cm}^3 \text{ per gallon})$
 $(0.8647 \text{ g/cm}^3)(100 \text{ gal})(3785.4 \text{ cm}^3/\text{gal}) = 327,324 \text{ g}$

Block 1 Answer (continued):

- Calculate the milligrams per year of the constituent based on the percentage volume of condensate for CPP-665 times the number of grams of the constituent used in the INTEC boiler system:
 $(.0021)(327,324) = 687 \text{ g/yr}$ or **687,380 mg/yr**
- (3) Calculate the total concentration of the constituent in the contamination zone ($3 \times 17 \times 7 \text{ ft}$). The formula is the grams of constituent per year times the number of operating years divided by the volume of the soil:
 $(687,380 \text{ mg})(21 \text{ years})/27,183 \text{ kg} = \mathbf{531 \text{ mg/kg}}$

The risk-based concentration level is 12,000 mg/kg for a Hazard Index of 1; therefore, calculate the ratio of the calculated concentration of the constituent divided by the risk-based concentration level.

$531 \text{ mg/kg}/12,000 \text{ mg/kg} = .044$ or less than the Hazard Index of 1 and does not pose a risk.

Building CPP-607, Shallow Injection Well CPP-102 and CPP-110

The constituent concentration arrived at for Building CPP-607 is used for both wells, CPP-102 and CPP-110. This provides a very conservative estimate in that the total concentration is not split amongst the two SIWs and the smaller contamination zone is used (i.e. contamination zone starts at 6ft and ends at 10ft, thus a smaller soil volume captures the contaminant). In addition, since no evidence was available on the exact operating timeframe for venting the steam trace condensate—documents include only a few operating years—accounting for the entire condensate volume from CPP-607 is adequate. The calculation will show that even if all the condensate from the building went to one SIW, the constituent is still well below the EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goal for soil.

- (1) Calculate the volume of the soil in the cone-shaped area in kilograms:
 - Assume cone-shaped contamination zone with the cone being 3-ft diameter at top, 10-ft diameter at the bottom, and 4-ft in height (1:1-ft slope down to 10 ft bgs, zone starts at 6 ft bgs)
 - The calculated volume of the cone area using the ABE Volume Calculator is = 146 ft^3
 - Convert cubic feet to cubic centimeter
 $146 \text{ ft}^3 = 4.13 \text{ m}^3$ or $4,134,105 \text{ cm}^3$
 - Calculate grams assuming dry bulk soil density = 1.5 g/cm^3
 $(4,134,105 \text{ cm}^3)(1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3) = 6,201,158 \text{ g}$
 - Convert to kilograms:
 $6,201,158/1,000 = 6,201 \text{ kg}$
- (2) Calculate mass in grams of CPP-607's condensate volume:
 - Calculate CPP-607's condensate volume per year, range was 200,000 to 500,000 gal for the 47-year operational life, used highest volume for calculation:
 $500,000 \text{ gal}/47 \text{ years} = \mathbf{10,638 \text{ gal/year}}$
 - Then calculate the percentage of CPP-607 condensate volume, based on INTEC's boiler system volume per year, to determine the volume of condensate attributed to this specific building. The equation is CPP-607's condensate volume (gal/yr) / boiler system output (gal/yr):
 $10,638 \text{ gal}/14,400,000 \text{ gal} = .0007 = \mathbf{.07\%}$
 - Next, calculate the contaminant constituent volume used in the INTEC boiler system per year. The constituent represents a wt% of 10–25% of the corrosion inhibitor product. The higher value (25%) was used for this calculation. The equation is the total gallons of the corrosion inhibitor product used per year times the wt% of the constituent in the product:

$$(400 \text{ gal})(.25) = 100 \text{ gal}$$

Block 1 Answer (continued):

- In order to calculate the number of grams of constituent used in the INTEC boiler system, the equation is the density of the constituent (referenced in the MERCK Index, 12th Edition) times the volume of the constituent times the number of cubic centimeters in a gallon:
 $(\text{density of constituent})(\text{volume of constituent})(\text{cm}^3 \text{ per gallon})$
 $(0.8647 \text{ g/cm}^3)(100 \text{ gal})(3785.4 \text{ cm}^3/\text{gal}) = 327,324 \text{ g}$
- Calculate the milligrams per year of the constituent based on the percentage volume of condensate for CPP-607 times the number of grams of the constituent used in the INTEC boiler system:
 $(.0007)(327,324) = 229 \text{ g/yr or } 229,000 \text{ mg/yr}$
- (3) Calculate the total concentration of the constituent in the contamination zone ($3 \times 10 \times 4 \text{ ft}$). The formula is the grams of constituent per year times the number of operating years divided by the volume of the soil:
 $(229,000 \text{ mg})(47 \text{ years})/6,201 \text{ kg} = 1,735 \text{ mg/kg}$

The risk-based concentration level is 12,000 mg/kg for a Hazard Index of 1; therefore, calculate the ratio of the calculated concentration of the constituent divided by the risk-based concentration level.

$$1,735 \text{ mg/kg}/12,000 \text{ mg/kg} = .145 \text{ or less than the Hazard Index of 1 and does not pose a risk.}$$

Building CPP-633, Shallow Injection Well CPP-109

- (1) Calculate the volume of the soil in the cone-shaped area in kilograms:
 - Assume cone-shaped contamination zone with the cone being 3-ft at top, 17-ft at bottom, and 7-ft in height (1:1-ft slope down to 10 ft bgs, zone starts at 3 ft bgs)
 - The calculated volume of the cone area using the ABE Volume Calculator is = 640 ft^3
 - Convert cubic feet to cubic centimeters
 $640 \text{ ft}^3 = 18.12 \text{ m}^3 \text{ or } 18,122,105 \text{ cm}^3$
 - Calculate grams assuming dry bulk soil density = 1.5 g/cm^3
 $(18,122,105 \text{ cm}^3)(1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3) = 27,183,157 \text{ g}$
 - Convert to kilograms:
 $27,183,157/1,000 = 27,183 \text{ kg}$
- (2) Calculate mass in grams of CPP-633's condensate volume:
 - Calculate the percentage of CPP-633's condensate volume based on INTEC's boiler system volume per year to determine the volume of condensate attributed to this specific building. The equation is CPP-633's condensate volume (gal/yr) / boiler system output (gal/yr):
 $324,000 \text{ gal}/14,400,000 \text{ gal} = .0225 = 2.25\%$
 - Next, calculate the contaminant constituent volume used in the INTEC boiler system per year. The constituent represents a wt% of 10–25% of the corrosion inhibitor product. The higher value (25%) was used for this calculation. The equation is the total gallons of the corrosion inhibitor product used per year times the wt% of the constituent in the product:
 $(400 \text{ gal})(.25) = 100 \text{ gal}$
 - In order to calculate the number of grams of the constituent used in the INTEC boiler system, the equation is the density of the constituent (referenced in the MERCK Index, 12th Edition) times the volume of the constituent times the number of cubic centimeters in a gallon:
 $(\text{density of constituent})(\text{volume of constituent})(\text{cm}^3 \text{ per gallon})$

$$(0.8647 \text{ g/cm}^3)(100 \text{ gal})(3,785.4 \text{ cm}^3/\text{gal}) = 327,324 \text{ g}$$

Block 1 Answer (continued):

- Calculate the milligram per year of the constituent based on the percentage volume of condensate for CPP-663 times the number of grams of the constituent used in the INTEC boiler system:
 $(.0225)(327,324) = 7,364 \text{ g/yr}$ or **7,364,790 mg/yr**
- (3) Calculate the total concentration of the constituent in the contamination zone ($3 \times 17 \times 7 \text{ ft}$). The formula is the milligrams of constituent per year times the number of operating years divided by the volume of the soil:
 $(7,364,790 \text{ mg})(24 \text{ years})/27,183 \text{ kg} = \mathbf{6,502 \text{ mg/kg}}$

The risk-based concentration level is 12,000 mg/kg for a Hazard Index of 1; therefore, calculate the ratio of the calculated concentration of the constituent divided by the risk-based concentration level.

$6,502 \text{ mg/kg} / 12,000 \text{ mg/kg} = 0.54$ or less than the Hazard Index of 1 and does not pose a risk.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

The EPA Region 9 PRGs tables are widely used for soil contamination remediation.

Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed? ☐ Yes ☒ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

No verified analytical data are available from any of the shallow injection well sites; however, information was available for a question asked by EPA in 1989 regarding CPP-109, the shallow injection well near CPP-633. The entry stated that sampling occurred and the liquid was thermally hot, radioactively clean, and close to a neutral by pH paper test. It verified that the liquid was condensate from lines in the WCF facility (see Attachment 10).

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11,12,13,17,18		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 7. What is the known or estimated quantity of hazardous substance/constituent at this source? If the quantity is an estimate, explain carefully how the estimate was derived.

Block 1 Answer:

The quantity of the hazardous substance was estimated in Question #6 (see calculations). The constituent concentration estimate for SIW CPP-103, associated with Building CPP-665, is 531 mg/kg. The concentration estimate for SIW CPP-109, associated with Building CPP-633, is 6,502 mg/kg. The concentration estimate for SIWs CPP-102 and -110, associated with Building CPP-607, is 1,735 mg/kg. The hazardous constituent is based on the throughput of the boiler system used to heat the INTEC facility buildings. The estimates for each building are outlined as follows:

These calculated concentrations were used for the "estimated hazardous constituent concentration," Column 6, on the spreadsheet on Page 8.

The volume of condensate for each site was calculated using several assumptions associated with each building.

Building CPP-607:

The building envelope heat loss was calculated for Building CPP-607, an storage building, using the following :

- wall and roof insulation R-values
- outdoor and indoor design temperatures for storage spaces
- building leakage

Using this information, along with life cycle heating analysis (i.e. heating degree days (HDD) for the site), steam tables for latent heat data at 150 psi (supply) and 20 psi (reduced for heat) steam, and other assumptions included below and including, but not limited to, all of the steam (turned condensate) went to the sump or pit over the life of the building, the total condensate load was calculated.

- The storage building was heated to 50°F, 24 hr/day.
- Building geometry.
- **All** condensate from the heating of the building was considered.
- **Only** condensate from heating the building and steam traps from the supply source was considered.
- Linear life-cycle building degradation affecting building insulation and leakage rate.
- 47 years of operation (heating seasons).

The rough order of magnitude estimate, based on the above assumptions for CPP-607, is 200,000–500,000 gal over the life of the building.

Building CPP-665:

The building envelope heat loss was calculated for Building CPP-665, an occupied office building, using the following:

- wall and roof insulation R-values
- outdoor and indoor design temperatures for personnel comfort
- outdoor air supply requirements based on occupant density

- internal heat gains (lighting, people, etc)
- temperature turn-down (based on work week).

Using this information, along with life cycle heating analysis (i.e. heating degree days (HDD) for the site), steam tables for latent heat data at 150 psi (supply) and 20 psi (reduced for heat) steam, and other assumptions below and including, but not limited to, all of the steam (turned condensate) went to the sump or pit over the life of the building, the total condensate load was calculated.

- The office building was heated to 72°F/ 8 hours a day/5 days a week and 65°F the remaining time.
- Insulation R-values.
- Building leakage.
- Building geometry.
- All condensate from the heating of the building was considered.
- Only condensate from heating the building and steam traps from the supply source was considered.
- 20 psig steam was used.
- Linear life cycle building degradation affecting building insulation and leakage rate.
- 21 years of operation (heating seasons).

The rough order of magnitude estimate, based on the above assumptions for CPP-665, is 630,000–655,000 gal over the life of the building.

CPP-633:

The Post-Closure Permit Application documents for the Waste Calcining Facility provided a condensate volume of 324,000 gal per year and was in service for 24 years or 7,776,000 gal over the service life.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☐ High ☒ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

The yearly volumes for the corrosion inhibitor product (400 gal) and the boiler system (14,400,000 gal) are based on actual process data supplied by the operating engineer; however, the calculations for the estimated volume of condensate dispelled during the lifetime of each building were calculated using numerous assumptions.

Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

Interviews with the boiler system's current operating engineer were conducted to ascertain information on the system. No information documenting the quantity of condensate released to each shallow injection well is available.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information

☐

Analytical data

☐

Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11,12		

PROCESS: Shallow Injection Wells

Question 8. Is there evidence that this hazardous substance/constituent is present at the source as it exists today? If so, describe the evidence.

Block 1 Answer:

No formal evidence exists that the hazardous constituent is present at the source today. The lines leading to each of the shallow injection wells have been removed or grouted. Building CPP-633 has been closed with a closure cap installed over the building footprint and the condensate line to SIW CPP-109 has been grouted. Building CPP-607 has been completely removed and dismantled. SIW CPP-110, associated with CPP-607, has been removed and filled with soil and the lines leading to SIW CPP-102, also associated with CPP-607, have been removed. CPP-665 is in decommissioning and dismantling status and SIW CPP-103 has been removed and filled with soil. Conservative estimates of contaminant concentration are less than risk-based levels.

Block 2 How reliable are the information sources? ☒ High ☐ Med ☐ Low (check one)
Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation.

Engineering drawings document the abandonment or grouting of condensate lines running to the shallow injection wells. Further, interviews with personnel intimately familiar with the decommissioning of the facilities confirm the current status of the condensate lines.

Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed? ☒ Yes ☐ No (check one)
If so, describe the confirmation.

The information regarding the sources of the condensate is well documented and is therefore considered highly reliable.

Block 4 Sources of Information [check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list]

No available information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documentation about data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current process data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Q.A. data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety analysis report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/site drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2,4,5,6	D&D report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction data	<input type="checkbox"/>
OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/>		


REFERENCES

1. DEQ, 2003, Response to INEEL Shallow Injection Well Abandonment Plan, State of Idaho Department of Water Resources, CCN 39827, January 21, 2003
2. Drawing 096452, Rev. 1, *CPP-607 Replace Steam Heaters Flowsheet*.
3. Bragassa, Jodi, Memo with Mike Swenson, Calcine Disposition Support, December 10, 2003.
4. Drawing 095657 Rev. 6, *CPP-665 First Floor Heating and Ventilating and Air Conditioning System*.
5. Drawing 055337 Rev.6, *CPP-Area Underground Utilities Systems*.
6. Drawing 096452 Rev. 1, *CPP-607 Replace Steam Heaters Flowsheet*.
7. Interview Memo with Terry Chesnovar and Ron Garton, INTEC Boiler System Support Engineer, March 18, 2003.
8. Interview Memo with Sandra Carnahan, INTEC Water Boiler System Support Engineer, March 27, 2003.
9. EPA, Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals, 2002 Tables, [Electronic database]. 2002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available:
<http://www.epa.gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/index.htm>
10. Personal Communication with Terry Chesnovar, INTEC Boiler System Engineer, November 13, 2003.
11. Guillen, Louis, *E-Mail* to Jodi Bragassa Regarding Condensate Volume for Building CPP-607, November 10, 2003.
12. Guillen, Louis, *E-Mail* to Jodi Bragassa Regarding Condensate Volume for Building CPP-665, December 15, 2003.
13. EPA, 2003, *HWMA/RCRA Post Closure Permit for the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center Waste Calcine Facility at the Idaho National Engineering Environmental Laboratory, Attachment 3, Section J*, EPA ID No. ID4890008952, Environmental Protection Agency, November 2003.
14. DOE-ID, 1997, *Comprehensive Facility and Land Use Plan*, DOE/ID-10514, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office, December 1997.
15. INEEL Environmental Data Warehouse, [Electronic database]. 2003. INEEL intranet. Available:
<http://icpweb2/edw2/>
16. Bragassa, Jodi, Memo on Note found Regarding CPP-633's EPA Question during COCA Visit in 1989, November 23, 2003.
17. ABE Volume Calculator, [Electronic database]. 2004. Mississippi State University, Agricultural and Biological Engineering. Available: <http://grapevine.abe.msstate.edu/~fto/tools/vol/index.html>

18. The MERCK Index, 12th Edition, Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ 1996


Attachment 1

Photo of Shallow Injection Well CPP-102




Attachment 2

Photo of Shallow Injection Well CPP-103




Attachment 3

Photo of Shallow Injection Well CPP-109




Attachment 4

Photo of Shallow Injection Well CPP-110




Attachment 5

Drawing of Building CPP-607's Steam Heating Flowsheet



Attachment 6

Drawing of Piping Demolition Plan for CPP-665



Drawing of the Underground Utilities for CPP-633



Attachment 8

Personal Communication with Terry Chesnovar, INTEC Boiler System Engineer

Chemical Inhibitors used in Boiler System near INTEC CPP-701

Interviewers:
Jodi Bragassa & Lee Tuott

Interviewee:
Terry Chesnovar

Date:
3/18/03

1. *Describe your association with the CPP-701 facility and boiler system.*

An engineer at the INTEC facility. Worked with the boiler system since the early 1980s.

2. *What chemicals are you aware of that were used as chemical inhibitors in the boiler system?*

The product names have changed through the years, but the constituents have not changed significantly since working with the system. The main ingredient used prior to his service was trisodium phosphate. The following products are currently used in the system and could be in the steam condensate: Amersite 2 (corrosion inhibitor); Advantage Plus 1400 (deposit inhibitor); and Amercor 1848 (corrosion inhibitor).

3. *Were chromates used, to your knowledge, in the system?*

To the best of his knowledge, no chromates were used in the boiler and steam system at INTEC.

4. *Was there any other constituent used in the boiler system that would be of significance other than those listed above?*

No.

Attachment 9

Personal Communication with Ron Garton, INTEC Boiler System Engineer

Chemical Inhibitors used in Boiler System near INTEC CPP-701

Interviewers:
Jodi Bragassa

Interviewee:
Ron Garton

Date:
3/18/03

6. *Describe your association with the CPP-701 facility and boiler system.*

Started working at the INEEL in 1983. He was a utilities foreman for 18 years and has been the Steam and Condensate Engineer at INTEC for the last 2 years.

7. *What chemicals are you aware of that were used as chemical inhibitors in the boiler system?*

The ingredient used prior to his service was trisodium phosphate. During the early 1980s a new contract was put into place and different products were used, but primarily they all contained essentially the same constituents as the products currently used. The products currently being used include: Amersite 2 (corrosion inhibitor and oxygen scavenger); Advantage Plus 1400 (deposit inhibitor) is used along with trisodium phosphate; and Amercor 1848 (corrosion inhibitor) for the steam line.

8. *Were chromates used, to your knowledge, in the system?*

He is not aware of any chromates being used in the system.

9. *Was there any other constituent used in the boiler system that would be of significance other than those listed above?*

Not that he is aware of.

Attachment 10

Memo from Jodi Bragassa Regarding Information on French Drain South of CPP-633

Memo:

To: Project File
Subject: Memo from Mike Macconnel regarding well (CPP-109) near CPP-633
Date: 11/22/03
From: Jodi Bragassa

Mike Macconnel dug through a box of information from Gerry Sehlke regarding the shallow injection wells to see if any additional documentation could be located on those wells. He did come across a sheet labeled, "Attachment 5, Questions asked by EPA/State of Idaho During ICPP Inspection of COCA units on 7/27/89". This document is attached to this memo and provides information on the french drain south of CPP-633 or CERCLA site CPP-109.

The memo references a question on the liquid flowing through a pipe to the drain and identifies it as condensate.

ATTACHMENT 5

Questions asked by EPA/State of Idaho
During ICCP Inspection of COCA Units on 7/27/89

SWMU CPP-39: CPP HF Storage Tank (YDB-105) and associated dry well.

EPA stated that there was a potential for someone to dump hazardous waste to the French drain.

WINCO replied that there were no known cases of anyone dumping waste to the French drains.

SWMU CPP-46: CPP-637 Courtyard Pilot Plant Release (Nov 1978).

EPA asked about equipment on the secondary containment of the pilot plant simulated dissolver product holding tanks and also inquired about the containment sump and drain which has a valve and cap termination.

WINCO stated that the equipment was a level detector. (Conductivity probe in sump connected to audible alarm). The containment sump has a stub pipe with valve and cap to enable emptying the basin to other containers by pumping should liquid accumulate from spills or collection of water from rain or snowmelt.

SWMU CPP-48 French drain south of CPP-633

EPA asked about liquid flowing through a pipe to the drain i.e., identification and source. The identity of the liquid was not known during the inspection. WINCO sampled the liquid which was thermally hot, radioactively clean and close to neutral by pH paper test. It was verified that the liquid is condensate from lines in the WCF. Steam valves on heating systems do not enable positive shutoff and occasionally dump condensate to the drain. (NOTE: The drain receiving the condensate is actually adjacent to the SWMU French drain which has been covered.)

SWMU CPP-51: PCB Staging Area West of CPP-660.

EPA asked if any soil was removed from the unit when the PCB equipment was removed.

WINCO responded that it was not known if PCB oil leaked to the ground when oil was apparent on the concrete pad (1985) and that no sampling or excavation was conducted.

Attachment 11

Material Safety Data Sheets for Amercor 1848 Corrosion Inhibitor, Amersite 2 Corrosion Inhibitor, and Advantage Plus 1400 Deposit Inhibitor

1118
C

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 001
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

1. CHEMICAL PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

Material Identity

Product Name: ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR
Product Code:

Company

Ashland
Ashland Distribution Co. &
Ashland Specialty Chemical Co.
P. O. Box 2219
Columbus, OH 43216
614-790-3333

Emergency Telephone Number:

1-800-ASHLAND (1-800-274-5263)
24 hours everydayRegulatory Information Number:
1-800-325-3751

2. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

Ingredient(s)	CAS Number	% (by weight)
ETHYLENEDIAMINE TETRAACETIC ACID NA SALT	64-02-8	1.0- 10.0
ACRYLIC POLYMER		1.0- 10.0
SODIUM LIGNOSULFONATE	8061-51-6	1.0- 10.0
ORGANIC SALT		1.0- 10.0

3. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Potential Health Effects

Eye

Can cause permanent eye injury. Symptoms include stinging, tearing, redness, and swelling of eyes. Can injure the cornea and cause blindness.

Skin

Can cause permanent skin damage. Symptoms may include redness, burning, and swelling of skin, burns, and other skin damage. Additional symptoms of skin contact may include: allergic skin reaction (delayed skin rash which may be followed by blistering, scaling and other skin effects) Passage of this material into the body through the skin is possible, but it is unlikely that this would result in harmful effects during safe handling and use.

Swallowing

Swallowing this material may be harmful or fatal. Symptoms may include severe stomach and intestinal irritation (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), abdominal pain, and vomiting of blood. Swallowing this material may cause burns and destroy tissue in the mouth, throat, and digestive tract. Low blood pressure and shock may occur as a result of severe tissue injury.

Inhalation

It is possible to breathe this material under certain conditions of handling and use (for example, during heating, spraying, or stirring). Breathing this material may be harmful or fatal.

Continued on next page

04-16-02

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 002
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Symptoms of Exposure

Signs and symptoms of exposure to this material through breathing, swallowing, and/or passage of the material through the skin may include: stomach or intestinal upset (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), irritation (nose, throat, airways).

Target Organ Effects

No data

Developmental Information

This material (or a component) has been shown to cause birth defects in laboratory animal studies. Harm to the fetus occurs only at exposure levels that harm the pregnant animal. The relevance of these findings to humans is uncertain.

Cancer Information

There is no information available. The chance of this material causing cancer is unknown. This material is not listed as a carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the National Toxicology Program, or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Other Health Effects

No data

Primary Route(s) of Entry

Inhalation, Skin absorption, Skin contact, Eye contact, Ingestion.

4. FIRST AID MEASURES

Eyes

If material gets into the eyes, immediately flush eyes gently with water for at least 15 minutes while holding eyelids apart. If symptoms develop as a result of vapor exposure, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air before flushing as recommended above. Seek immediate medical attention.

Skin

Immediately flush skin with water for at least 15 minutes while removing contaminated clothing and shoes. Seek immediate medical attention. Wash clothing before reuse and discard contaminated shoes.

Swallowing

Seek immediate medical attention. Do not induce vomiting. Vomiting will cause further damage to the mouth and throat. If individual is conscious and alert, immediately rinse mouth with water and give milk or water to drink. If possible, do not leave individual unattended.

Inhalation

If symptoms develop, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air. Seek immediate medical attention; keep person warm and quiet. If person is not breathing, begin artificial respiration. If breathing is difficult, administer oxygen.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 003
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Note to Physicians

Preexisting disorders of the following organs (or organ systems) may be aggravated by exposure to this material: skin, lung (for example, asthma-like conditions), eye.

5. FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES

Flash Point

Not applicable

Explosive Limit

Not applicable

Autoignition Temperature

No data

Hazardous Products of Combustion

May form: carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide, sodium oxide.

Fire and Explosion Hazards

No special fire hazards are known to be associated with this product.

Extinguishing Media

regular foam, water fog, carbon dioxide, dry chemical.

Fire Fighting Instructions

Wear a self-contained breathing apparatus with a full facepiece operated in the positive pressure demand mode with appropriate turn-out gear and chemical resistant personal protective equipment. Refer to the personal protective equipment section of this MSDS.

MFPA Rating

Health - 3, Flammability - 0, Reactivity - 1

6. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Small Spill

Absorb liquid on vermiculite, floor absorbent or other absorbent material. Scoop or scrape up. Put in container for recovery or disposal.

Large Spill

Persons not wearing protective equipment should be excluded from area of spill until clean-up has been completed. Stop spill at source, dike area of spill to prevent spreading, pump liquid to salvage tank. Remaining liquid may be taken up on sand, clay, earth, floor absorbent, or other absorbent material and shoveled into containers.

7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

Handling

Containers of this material may be hazardous when emptied. Since emptied containers retain product residues (vapor, liquid, and/or solid), all hazard precautions given in the data sheet must be observed.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 004
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Storage

Store in closed containers in a dry, well-ventilated area. Keep from freezing.

8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

Eye Protection

Chemical splash goggles and face shield (8" min.) in compliance with OSHA regulations are advised; however, OSHA regulations also permit other type safety glasses. (Consult your industrial hygienist.)

Skin Protection

Wear resistant gloves such as: neoprene. To prevent repeated or prolonged skin contact, wear impervious clothing and boots..

Respiratory Protections

Exposures in the workplace should be monitored to determine if worker exposure to vapor or mist air concentrations exceeds the facility specified exposure "action level" or the use of the product produces adverse health effects or symptoms of exposure. Only a NIOSH/MSHA approved respirator and cartridge (TC-23C) is to be used. Monitoring results must be used to assess the proper level of respiratory protection necessary (such as: full face piece respirator with chemical cartridges or self-contained breathing apparatus {scuba}, etc.). Proper engineering and/or administrative controls should be used to reduce worker exposure. The facility's respiratory protection program must meet the requirements established in 29 CFR 1910.134, which includes a program for medical evaluation.

Engineering Controls

Provide sufficient mechanical (general and/or local exhaust) ventilation to maintain exposure below level of overexposure (from known, suspected or apparent adverse effects).

Exposure Guidelines

Component

ETHYLENEDIAMINE TETRAACETIC ACID NA SALT (64-02-8)
No exposure limits established

ACRYLIC POLYMER
No exposure limits established

SODIUM LIGNOSULFONATE (8061-51-6)
No exposure limits established

ORGANIC SALT
No exposure limits established

9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Boiling Point

(for component) 212.0 F (100.0 C) @ 760 mmHg

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 005

Date Prepared: 05/01/01

Date Printed: 04/06/02

MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Vapor Pressure

(for component) 17.500 mmHg @ 68.00 F

Specific Vapor Density

No data

Specific Gravity

1.120 @ 68.00 F

Liquid Density

9.330 lbs/gal @ 68.00 F

1.120 kg/l @ 20.00 C

Percent Volatiles

70.0 - 85.0 %

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

.000 %

.000 g/l

.000 lbs/gal

Evaporation Rate

< 1.00

Appearance

DARK BROWN LIQUID

State

LIQUID

Physical Form

HOMOGENEOUS SOLUTION

Color

DARK BROWN

Odor

NOT DETERMINED

pH

13.0

Freezing Point

28.0 F (-2.2 C)

Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient

> 1.000

10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Hazardous Polymerization

Product will not undergo hazardous polymerization.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 006
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Hazardous Decomposition

May form: carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide, sodium oxide.

Chemical Stability

Stable.

Incompatibility

Avoid contact with: copper, reactive metals such as aluminum and magnesium, strong mineral acids, strong oxidizing agents.

11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

LD 50 and LC 50 Data

ETHYLENEDIAMINETETRAACETATE, SODIUM SALT (CAS# 64-02-8)
Oral LD50 (male rat): 3030 mg/kg
Dermal LD50 (rabbit): >5000 mg/kg
Inhalation LC50: Not available
SODIUM LIGNOSULFONATE (CAS# 8061-51-6)
Oral LD50 (mouse): 6030 mg/kg
Dermal LD50: Not available
Inhalation LC50: Not available

12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Ecotoxicological Information

96 hour LC50 rainbow trout (static conditions): 3536.0 mg/l*
96 hour LC50 fathead minnow (static conditions): 2031.0 mg/l*
48 hour LC50 Daphnia magna (static conditions): 3536.0 mg/l*

* Based on a similar product formulation.

Chemical Fate Information

BOD5: 58 ppm*
COD: 490,000 ppm*

* Based on a similar product formulation.

13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATION

Waste Management Information

Dispose of in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations. For assistance with your waste management needs - including disposal, recycling and waste stream reduction, contact Ashland Distribution Company, IC&S Environmental Services Group at 800-637-7922.

14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

DOT Information - 49 CFR 172.101

DOT Description:

NON-REGULATED BY D.O.T.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 007
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

Container/Mode:
55 GAL DRUM/TRUCK PACKAGE

MOS Component:
None

RQ (Reportable Quantity) - 49 CFR 172.101
Not applicable

Other Transportation Information
The DOT transport information may vary with the container and mode of shipment.

15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

US Federal Regulations
TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) Status
TSCA (UNITED STATES) The intentional ingredients of this product are listed.

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(a)
None listed

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(b)
This material has a RQ of 100 lbs as a D002 Corrosive unlisted hazardous substance.

SARA 302 Components - 40 CFR 355 Appendix A
None

Section 311/312 Hazard Class - 40 CFR 370.2
Immediate(X) Delayed() Fire() Reactive() Sudden Release of Pressure()

SARA 313 Components - 40 CFR 372.65
None

OSHA Process Safety Management 29 CFR 1910
None listed

EPA Accidental Release Prevention 40 CFR 68
None listed

International Regulations
Inventory Status
DSL (CANADA) The intentional ingredients of this product are listed.

State and Local Regulations
California Proposition 65
None

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 008
Date Prepared: 05/01/01
Date Printed: 04/06/02
MSDS No: 999.0275688-003.003

ADVANTAGE PLUS 1400 DEPOSIT INHIBITOR

16. OTHER INFORMATION

The information accumulated herein is believed to be accurate but is not warranted to be whether originating with the company or not. Recipients are advised to confirm in advance of need that the information is current, applicable, and suitable to their circumstances.

Last page

0563

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 001

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

1. CHEMICAL PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

Material Identity

Product Name: AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

General or Generic ID: CORROSION INHIBITOR

Company

Ashland

Ashland Distribution Co. &

Ashland Specialty Chemical Co.

P. O. Box 2219

Columbus, OH 43216

614-790-3333

Emergency Telephone Number:

1-800-ASHLAND (1-800-274-5263)

24 hours everyday

Regulatory Information Number:

1-800-325-3751

2. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

Ingredient(s)	CAS Number	% (by weight)
SODIUM METABISULFITE	7681-57-4	30.0- 40.0

3. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Potential Health Effects

Eye

Can cause permanent eye injury. Symptoms include stinging, tearing, redness, and swelling of eyes. Can injure the cornea and cause blindness.

Skin

May cause mild skin irritation. Symptoms may include redness and burning of skin.

Swallowing

Swallowing small amounts of this material during normal handling is not likely to cause harmful effects. Swallowing large amounts may be harmful.

Continued on next page

h 18-00
aw

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Wheland

Page 002

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Inhalation

Breathing of vapor or mist is possible.

Symptoms of Exposure

Signs and symptoms of exposure to this material through breathing, swallowing, and/or passage of the material through the skin may include: stomach or intestinal upset (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea) irritation (nose, throat, airways).

Target Organ Effects

No data

Developmental Information

No data

Cancer Information

No data

Other Health Effects

No data

Primary Route(s) of Entry

Inhalation, Skin contact.

4. FIRST AID MEASURES

Eyes

If symptoms develop, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air. Flush eyes gently with water for at least 15 minutes while holding eyelids apart; seek immediate medical attention.

Skin

Remove contaminated clothing. Wash exposed area with soap and water. If symptoms persist, seek medical attention. Launder clothing before reuse.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

shland

Page 003

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Swallowing

Seek medical attention. If individual is drowsy or unconscious, do not give anything by mouth; place individual on the left side with the head down. Contact a physician, medical facility, or poison control center for advice about whether to induce vomiting. If possible, do not leave individual unattended.

Inhalation

If symptoms develop, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air. Seek immediate medical attention; keep person warm and quiet. If person is not breathing, begin artificial respiration. If breathing is difficult, administer oxygen.

Note to Physicians

Preexisting disorders of the following organs (or organ systems) may be aggravated by exposure to this material: lung (for example, asthma-like conditions).

FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES

Flash Point

Not applicable

Explosive Limit

Not applicable

Autoignition Temperature

No data

Hazardous Products of Combustion

May form: sulfur dioxide.

Fire and Explosion Hazards

No special fire hazards are known to be associated with this product.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Wheland

Page 004

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Extinguishing Media
water fog, carbon dioxide.

Fire Fighting Instructions
Wear a self-contained breathing apparatus with a full facepiece operated in the positive pressure demand mode with appropriate turn-out gear and chemical resistant personal protective equipment. Refer to the personal protective equipment section of this MSDS.

NFPA Rating
Health - 2, Flammability - 0, Reactivity - 0

6. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Small Spill
Absorb liquid on vermiculite, floor absorbent or other absorbent material.

Large Spill
Prevent run-off to sewers, streams or other bodies of water. If run-off occurs, notify proper authorities as required, that a spill has occurred. Persons not wearing protective equipment should be excluded from area of spill until clean-up is completed. Stop spill at source. Dike to prevent spreading. Pump to salvage tank.

7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

Handling
Containers of this material may be hazardous when emptied. Since emptied containers retain product residues (vapor, liquid, and/or solid), all hazard precautions given in the data sheet must be observed.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

vhland

Page 005

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

Eye Protection

Chemical splash goggles in compliance with OSHA regulations are advised; however, OSHA regulations also permit other type safety glasses. Consult your safety representative.

Skin Protection

Wear resistant gloves such as: neoprene, polyvinyl chloride, To prevent repeated or prolonged skin contact, wear impervious clothing and boots.. Wear normal work clothing covering arms and legs..

Respiratory Protections

If workplace exposure limit(s) of product or any component is exceeded (see exposure guidelines), a NIOSH/MSHA approved air supplied respirator is advised in absence of proper environmental control. OSHA regulations also permit other NIOSH/MSHA respirators (negative pressure type) under specified conditions (see your industrial hygienist). Engineering or administrative controls should be implemented to reduce exposure.

Engineering Controls

Provide sufficient mechanical (general and/or local exhaust) ventilation to maintain exposure below TLV(s).

Exposure Guidelines

Component

SODIUM METABISULFITE (7681-57-4)

OSHA VPEL 5.000 mg/m3 - TWA

ACGIH TLV 5.000 mg/m3 - TWA

9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Boiling Point

(for component) 212.0 F (100.0 C) @ 760 mmHg

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

shland

Page 006
Date Prepared: 07/18/00
Date Printed: 07/18/00
MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.0d1

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Vapor Pressure
(for component) 17.500 mmHg

Specific Vapor Density
> 1.000 @ AIR-1

Specific Gravity
1.300 @ 77.00 F

Liquid Density
10.800 lbs/gal @ 77.00 F
1.300 kg/l @ 25.00 C

Percent Volatiles
55.0 - 70.0 %

Evaporation Rate
SLOWER THAN ETHYL ETHER

Appearance
CLEAR

State
LIQUID

Physical Form
HOMOGENEOUS SOLUTION

Color
PINK

Odor
No data

pH
4.1

Freezing Point
15.0 F (-9.4 C)

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 007

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Solubility in Water
SOLUBLE

10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Hazardous Polymerization

Product will not undergo hazardous polymerization.

Hazardous Decomposition

May form: sulfur dioxide.

Chemical Stability

Stable.

Incompatibility

Avoid contact with: strong mineral acids, strong oxidizing agents

11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

No data

12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

No data

13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATION

Waste Management Information

Dispose of in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations. For assistance with your waste management needs - including disposal, recycling and waste stream reduction, contact Ashland Distribution Company, IC&S Environmental Services Group at 800-637-7922.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

shland

Page 008

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

DOT Information - 49 CFR 172.101

DOT Description:

BISULFITES, AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS, N.O.S., 8, UN2693, III

Container/Mode:

55 GAL DRUM/TRUCK PACKAGE

NOS Component:

SODIUM BISULFITE

RQ (Reportable Quantity) - 49 CFR 172.101

Not applicable

15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

US Federal Regulations

TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) Status

TSCA (UNITED STATES) The intentional ingredients of this product are listed.

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(a)

None listed

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(b)

Materials without a "listed" RQ may be reportable as an "unlisted hazardous substance". See 40 CFR 302.5 (b).

SARA 302 Components - 40 CFR 355 Appendix A

None

Section 311/312 Hazard Class - 40 CFR 370.2

Immediate(X) Delayed() Fire() Reactive() Sudden Release of Pressure()

SARA 313 Components - 40 CFR 372.65

None

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

land

Page 009
Date Prepared: 07/18/00
Date Printed: 07/18/00
MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

OSHA Process Safety Management 29 CFR 1910
None listed

EPA Accidental Release Prevention 40 CFR 68
None listed

International Regulations

Inventory Status

DSL (CANADA) The intentional ingredients of this product are listed.

State and Local Regulations

California Proposition 65

The following statement is made in order to comply with the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986: This product contains the following substance(s) known to the state of California to cause cancer.

ARSENIC

LEAD

NICKEL

COBALT METAL POWDER

The following statement is made in order to comply with the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986: This product contains the following substance(s) known to the state of California to cause reproductive harm.

ARSENIC

LEAD

New Jersey RTK Label Information

SODIUM METABISULFITE

7681-57-4

Pennsylvania RTK Label Information

DISULFUROUS ACID, DISODIUM SALT

7681-57-4

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

hland

Page 010

Date Prepared: 07/18/00

Date Printed: 07/18/00

MSDS No: 306.0137818-006.001

AMERSITE 2 CORROSION INHIBITOR

16. OTHER INFORMATION

The information accumulated herein is believed to be accurate but is not warranted to be whether originating with the company or not. Recipients are advised to confirm in advance of need that the information is current, applicable, and suitable to their circumstances.

Last page

URCE:ASHLAND INC WTR

EASYWTR

0308

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 001
 Date Prepared: 06/28/99
 Date Printed: 01/29/00
 MSDS No: 306.C249274-007.001

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

1. CHEMICAL PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

Material Identity

Product Name: AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR
 Product Code:
 General or Generic ID: CORROSION INHIBITOR

Company

Ashland
 Ashland Distribution Co. A
 Ashland Specialty Chemical Co.
 P. O. Box 2219
 Columbus, OH 43216
 614-790-3333

Emergency Telephone Number:

1-800-ASHLAND (1-800-274-6262)
 24 hours everyday

Regulatory Information Number:
 1-800-325-1751

2. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

Ingredient(s)	CAS Number	% By weight
CYCLOHEXYLAMINE	108-91-8	10.0- 25.0
DIETHYLETHANOLAMINE	100-3-8	10.0- 25.0
MORPHOLINE	110-91-2	10.0- 25.0

3. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Potential Health Effects

Eye

Can cause permanent eye injury. Symptoms include stinging, tearing, redness, and swelling of eyes. Can injure the cornea and cause blindness. Additional symptoms of eye exposure may include: pain, vision blurred, vision around bright objects.

Skin

Can cause permanent skin damage. Symptoms may include redness, burning, and swelling of skin, burns, and other skin damage. Additional symptoms of skin contact may include: allergic skin reaction (delayed skin rash which may be followed by blistering, scaling and other skin effects), passage of this material into the body through the skin is possible, and skin contact may be harmful.

Swallowing

Swallowing this material may be harmful or fatal. Symptoms may include severe stomach and intestinal irritation (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), abdominal pain, and vomiting of blood. Swallowing this material may cause burns and destroy tissue in the mouth, throat, and digestive tract. Low blood pressure and shock may occur as a result of severe tissue injury.

Inhalation

Breathing of vapor or mist is possible. Breathing this material may be harmful. Symptoms usually occur at air concentrations higher than the recommended exposure limits (See Section 8).

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ascland

Page 007
Date Prepared: 06/28/99
Date Printed: 01/29/00
MSDS No: 306.0249274-007.C01

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Symptoms of Exposure

Signs and symptoms of exposure to this material through breathing, swallowing, and/or passage of the material through the skin may include: stomach or intestinal upset (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), irritation (nose, throat, airways), cough, headache, central nervous system depression (dizziness, drowsiness, weakness, fatigue, nausea, headache, unconsciousness), nervousness, muscle weakness, effects on blood pressure, chest pain, effects on heart rate, loss of coordination, difficult breathing, methemoglobinemia (blood abnormality which causes a blue coloring to the skin), lung edema (fluid buildup in the lung tissue).

Target Organ Effects

Overexposure to this material (or its components) has been suggested as a cause of the following effects in laboratory animals: mild, reversible bladder effects, liver abnormalities, effects on male fertility, nasal damage, testis damage, eye damage, kidney damage, liver damage, lung damage.

Developmental Information

This material (or a component) has been shown to cause harm to the fetus in laboratory animal studies. Harm to the fetus occurs only at exposure levels that harm the pregnant animal. The relevance of these findings to humans is uncertain.

Cancer Information

No data

Other Health Effects

This material (or a component) has been both positive and negative in tests for mutagenicity. The relevance of this finding to human health is uncertain.

Primary Route(s) of Entry

Inhalation, Skin absorption, Skin contact, Ingestion.

4. FIRST AID MEASURES

Eyes

If material gets into the eyes, immediately flush eyes gently with water for at least 15 minutes while holding eyelids apart. If symptoms develop as a result of vapor exposure, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air before flushing as recommended above. Seek immediate medical attention.

Skin

Immediately flush skin with water for at least 15 minutes while removing contaminated clothing and shoes. Seek immediate medical attention. Wash clothing before reuse and discard contaminated shoes.

Swallowing

Seek immediate medical attention. Do not induce vomiting. Vomiting will cause further damage to the mouth and throat. If individual is conscious and alert, immediately rinse mouth with water and give milk or water to drink. If possible, do not leave individual unattended.

Continued on next page

2013年12月26日，在“2013年中国法治人物”颁奖典礼上，王明贤荣获“2013年中国法治人物”称号。

DRAFT

2000 年 1 月 1 日
 2000 年 1 月 1 日

[illegible]

0751-7723
New York University, 20
10003

Das ist ein sehr wichtiger Punkt, den wir hier nicht übersehen dürfen. Wir müssen uns bewusst sein, dass die Qualität der Daten, die wir in unserem Modell verwenden, einen erheblichen Einfluss auf die Genauigkeit unserer Vorhersagen hat. Wenn wir also sicherstellen können, dass unsere Daten von hoher Qualität sind, können wir die Genauigkeit unserer Vorhersagen erheblich verbessern.

2000-2001
 2001-2002
 2002-2003
 2003-2004
 2004-2005
 2005-2006
 2006-2007
 2007-2008
 2008-2009
 2009-2010
 2010-2011
 2011-2012
 2012-2013
 2013-2014
 2014-2015
 2015-2016
 2016-2017
 2017-2018
 2018-2019
 2019-2020
 2020-2021
 2021-2022
 2022-2023
 2023-2024
 2024-2025
 2025-2026
 2026-2027
 2027-2028
 2028-2029
 2029-2030
 2030-2031
 2031-2032
 2032-2033
 2033-2034
 2034-2035
 2035-2036
 2036-2037
 2037-2038
 2038-2039
 2039-2040
 2040-2041
 2041-2042
 2042-2043
 2043-2044
 2044-2045
 2045-2046
 2046-2047
 2047-2048
 2048-2049
 2049-2050
 2050-2051
 2051-2052
 2052-2053
 2053-2054
 2054-2055
 2055-2056
 2056-2057
 2057-2058
 2058-2059
 2059-2060
 2060-2061
 2061-2062
 2062-2063
 2063-2064
 2064-2065
 2065-2066
 2066-2067
 2067-2068
 2068-2069
 2069-2070
 2070-2071
 2071-2072
 2072-2073
 2073-2074
 2074-2075
 2075-2076
 2076-2077
 2077-2078
 2078-2079
 2079-2080
 2080-2081
 2081-2082
 2082-2083
 2083-2084
 2084-2085
 2085-2086
 2086-2087
 2087-2088
 2088-2089
 2089-2090
 2090-2091
 2091-2092
 2092-2093
 2093-2094
 2094-2095
 2095-2096
 2096-2097
 2097-2098
 2098-2099
 2099-2100
 2100-2101
 2101-2102
 2102-2103
 2103-2104
 2104-2105
 2105-2106
 2106-2107
 2107-2108
 2108-2109
 2109-2110
 2110-2111
 2111-2112
 2112-2113
 2113-2114
 2114-2115
 2115-2116
 2116-2117
 2117-2118
 2118-2119
 2119-2120
 2120-2121
 2121-2122
 2122-2123
 2123-2124
 2124-2125
 2125-2126
 2126-2127
 2127-2128
 2128-2129
 2129-2130
 2130-2131
 2131-2132
 2132-2133
 2133-2134
 2134-2135
 2135-2136
 2136-2137
 2137-2138
 2138-2139
 2139-2140
 2140-2141
 2141-2142
 2142-2143
 2143-2144
 2144-2145
 2145-2146
 2146-2147
 2147-2148
 2148-2149
 2149-2150
 2150-2151
 2151-2152
 2152-2153
 2153-2154
 2154-2155
 2155-2156
 2156-2157
 2157-2158
 2158-2159
 2159-2160
 2160-2161
 2161-2162
 2162-2163
 2163-2164
 2164-2165
 2165-2166
 2166-2167
 2167-2168
 2168-2169
 2169-2170
 2170-2171
 2171-2172
 2172-2173
 2173-2174
 2174-2175
 2175-2176
 2176-2177
 2177-2178
 2178-2179
 2179-2180
 2180-2181
 2181-2182
 2182-2183
 2183-2184
 2184-2185
 2185-2186
 2186-2187
 2187-2188
 2188-2189
 2189-2190
 2190-2191
 2191-2192
 2192-2193
 2193-2194
 2194-2195
 2195-2196
 2196-2197
 2197-2198
 2198-2199
 2199-2200
 2200-2201
 2201-2202
 2202-2203
 2203-2204
 2204-2205
 2205-2206
 2206-2207
 2207-2208
 2208-2209
 2209-2210
 2210-2211
 2211-2212
 2212-2213
 2213-2214
 2214-2215
 2215-2216
 2216-2217
 2217-2218
 2218-2219
 2219-2220
 2220-2221
 2221-2222
 2222-2223
 2223-2224
 2224-2225
 2225-2226
 2226-2227
 2227-2228
 2228-2229
 2229-2230
 2230-2231
 2231-2232
 2232-2233
 2233-2234
 2234-2235
 2235-2236
 2236-2237
 2237-2238
 2238-2239
 2239-2240
 2240-2241
 2241-2242
 2242-2243
 2243-2244
 2244-2245
 2245-2246
 2246-2247
 2247-2248
 2248-2249
 2249-2250
 2250-2251
 2251-2252
 2252-2253
 2253-2254
 2254-2255
 2255-2256
 2256-2257
 2257-2258
 2258-2259
 2259-2260
 2260-2261
 2261-2262
 2262-2263
 2263-2264
 2264-2265
 2265-2266
 2266-2267
 2267-2268
 2268-2269
 2269-2270
 2270-2271
 2271-2272
 2272-2273
 2273-2274
 2274-2275
 2275-2276
 2276-2277
 2277-2278
 2278-2279
 2279-2280
 2280-2281
 2281-2282
 2282-2283
 2283-2284
 2284-2285
 2285-2286
 2286-2287
 2287-2288
 2288-2289
 2289-2290
 2290-2291
 2291-2292
 229

[illegible]

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

1000

[illegible]

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 278: 1039-1044.

Figure 1

© 1999 by the American Psychological Association, 0893-3200/99/\$12.00 DOI: 10.1037/0893-3200.13.4.545

卷之五

Subcommittee - House Committee on Education and the Labor Force

卷一百一十五

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ameland

Page 004
Date Prepared: 06/28/99
Date Printed: 01/29/00
MSDS No: 306.0249274-007.001

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Large Spill

Eliminate all ignition sources (flares, flames including pilot lights, electrical sparks). Persons not wearing protective equipment should be excluded from area of spill until clean-up has been completed. Stop spill at source. Prevent from entering drains, sewers, streams or other bodies of water. Prevent from spreading. If runoff occurs, notify authorities as required. Pump or vacuum transfer spilled product to clean containers for recovery. Absorb unrecoverable product. Transfer contaminated absorbent, soil and other materials to containers for disposal. Prevent run-off to sewers, streams or other bodies of water. If run-off occurs, notify proper authorities as required, that a spill has occurred.

7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

Handling

Containers of this material may be hazardous when emptied. Since emptied containers retain product residues (vapor, liquid, and/or solid), all hazard precautions given in the data sheet must be observed. All five-gallon pails and larger metal containers, including tank cars and tank trucks, should be grounded and/or bonded when material is transferred. Do not use sodium nitrite or other nitrosating agents in formulations containing this product. Suspected cancer-causing nitrosamines could be formed.

Storage

Keep from freezing.

8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

Eye Protection

Chemical splash goggles and face shield (8" min.) in compliance with OSHA regulations are advised; however, OSHA regulations also permit other type safety glasses. (Consult your industrial hygienist.)

Skin Protection

Wear resistant gloves such as: natural rubber, nitrile rubber. To prevent skin contact, wear impervious clothing and boots. Other protective equipment: eyewash station, emergency shower.

Respiratory Protections

Exposures in the workplace should be monitored if worker exposure to vapor or mists exceeds the PEL or TLV. Only a NIOSH/MSHA approved respirator and cartridge (TC-23C) is to be used. Monitoring results must be used to assess the proper level of respiratory protection necessary (such as: full face piece respirator with chemical cartridges or self-contained breathing apparatus (scuba), etc.). Proper engineering and/or administrative controls should be used to reduce worker exposure. The facility's respiratory program must meet the requirements established in 29 CFR 1910.134, which includes a program for medical evaluation.

Engineering Controls

Provide sufficient mechanical (general and/or local exhaust) ventilation to maintain exposure below level of overexposure (from known, suspected or apparent adverse effects).

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 005
Date Prepared: 06/28/99
Date Printed: 01/29/00
MSDS No: 306.0249274-007.C01

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Exposure Guidelines

Component

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE (108-91-8)
OSHA VPEL 10,000 ppm - TWA
ACGIH TLV 10,000 ppm - TWA

DIETHYLETHANOLAMINE (100-37-8)
OSHA VPEL 10,000 ppm - TWA (Skin)
ACGIH TLV 2,000 ppm - TWA (Skin)

MORPHOLINE (110-91-8)
OSHA VPEL 20,000 ppm - TWA (Skin)
OSHA VPEL 30,000 ppm - STEL (Skin)
ACGIH TLV 20,000 ppm - TWA (Skin)
ACGIH TLV 30,000 ppm - STEL (Skin)

9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Boiling Point

(for component) 212.0 F (100.0 C) @ 760 mmHg

Vapor Pressure

(for component) 17.500 mmHg @ 68.00 F

Specific Vapor Density

1.000 @ AIR=1

Specific Gravity

.970 @ 77.00 F

Liquid Density

8.089 lbs/gal @ 77.00 F
.970 kg/l @ 25.00 C

Percent Volatiles

100.0 %

Evaporation Rate

SLOWER THAN ETHYL ETHER

Appearance

CLEAR TO LIGHT AMBER LIQUID

State

LIQUID

Physical Form

No data

Color

CLEAR TO LIGHT AMBER

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 306

Date Prepared: 06/28/95

Date Printed: 01/29/00

MSDS No: 306.0249274-CC7.001

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

Odor

No data

pH

12.5

Freezing Point

-29.0 F (-33.8 C)

10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Hazardous Polymerization

Product will not undergo hazardous polymerization.

Hazardous Decomposition

May form: carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide, nitrogen compounds, various hydrocarbons.

Chemical Stability

Stable.

Incompatibility

Avoid contact with: excessive heat, strong acids, strong oxidizing agents, temperature extremes.

11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

No data

12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Ecotoxicological Information

96 hour LC50 rainbow trout (static conditions): 7071.1 mg/l

96 hour LC50 fathead minnow (static conditions): 947.3 mg/l

48 hour LC50 Daphnia magna (static conditions): 881.9 mg/l

Chemical Fate Information

BOE5: 1,170,000 mg/l

COE: 1,185,000 mg/l

13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATION

Waste Management Information

Dispose of in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations. For assistance with your waste management needs - including disposal, recycling and waste stream reduction, contact Ashland Distribution Company, IC&S Environmental Services Group at 800-637-7922.

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Ashland

Page 007
Date Prepared: 06/28/99
Date Printed: 01/29/00
MSDS No: 106.0249274-007.001

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

DOT Information - 49 CFR 172.101

DOT Description:

AMINES, FLAMMABLE, CORROSIVE. N.C.S., 1, UN2924, 111

Container/Mode:

55 GAL DRUM/TRUCK PACKAGE

NOS Component:

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE

MORPHOLINE

RQ (Reportable Quantity) - 49 CFR 172.101

Not applicable

15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

US Federal Regulations

TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) Status

TSCA (UNITED STATES) The international ingredients of this product are listed.

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(a)

None listed

CERCLA RQ - 40 CFR 302.4(b)

Materials without a "listed" RQ may be reportable as an "unlisted hazardous substance". See 40 CFR 302.5 (b).

SARA 302 Components - 40 CFR 355 Appendix A

Section 302 Component(s)

TPQ (lbs)

RQ (lbs)

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE

10000

10000

Section 311/312 Hazard Class - 40 CFR 370.2

Immediate(X) Delayed(X) Fire(X) Reactive(X) Rudden Release of Pressure(X)

SARA 311 Components - 40 CFR 372.65

None

OSHA Process Safety Management 29 CFR 1910

None listed

EPA Accidental Release Prevention 40 CFR 68

RMP Component (s)

Condition

TPQ (lbs)

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE CYCLOHEXANAMINE

15000

International Regulations

Inventory Status

Not determined

Continued on next page

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

Asphard

Page 008

Date Prepared: 06/28/99

Date Printed: 01/29/00

MSDS No: 306.0249274-007.001

AMERCOR 1848 CORROSION INHIBITOR

State and Local Regulations California Proposition 65

None

New Jersey RTK Label Information

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE	108-91-B
DIETHYLAMINOETHANOL	100-37-B
MORPHOLINE	110-91-B

Pennsylvania RTK Label Information

CYCLOHEXYLAMINE	108-91-B
ETHANOL, 2-(DIETHYLAMINO)-	100-37-B
MORPHOLINE	110 91-B

16. OTHER INFORMATION

The information accumulated herein is believed to be accurate but is not warranted to be whether originating with the company or not. Recipients are advised to confirm in advance of need that the information is current, applicable, and suitable to their circumstances.

Last page