INL/MIS-24-76440-Revision-0



#### Fast Reactor Materials R&D Update

February 2024

Ting-Leung Sham

Idaho National Laboratory

Thanging the World's Energy Future

INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory operated by Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC

#### DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

#### Fast Reactor Materials R&D Update

Ting-Leung Sham

February 2024

Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

http://www.inl.gov

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under DOE Idaho Operations Office Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517





# Fast Reactor Materials R&D Update

Ting-Leung (Sam) Sham Idaho National Laboratory

DOE-NE ART Fast Reactor Program Review Meeting February 6-8, 2024 Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL

#### **Fast Reactor Materials R&D**

# **Major focus: Deployment of A709** for fast reactor structural applications



Image Credit: Idaho National Laboratory





# **Deployment of New Materials for Adv Rx Components**

Design	Fabrication	abrication Supply Chain	
<ul> <li>Design rules</li> <li>End-of-life Design parameters         <ul> <li>Air data (ASME material code case)</li> <li>Irradiation data (if needed)</li> <li>Corrosion data</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Melt practice</li> <li>Thermomechanical processing parameters (forging, rolling, etc.)</li> <li>Heat treatment (solution anneal, precipitation treatment, etc.)</li> <li>Product acceptance</li> <li>Welding processes <ul> <li>Weld inspection</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Materials suppliers and fabricators need higher volume of production</li> <li>Materials deployable for multiple advanced reactor concepts could increase vendor sales volume</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Materials degradation management <ul> <li>Surrogate materials surveillance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Health monitoring</li> <li>Reliability integrity management (RIM)</li> <li>Stress corrosion cracking, Stress relaxation cracking, subcritical crack growth</li> </ul>





## **FY24 Work Packages for Fast Reactor Materials**

#### **FY24 Work Packages**

- AT-24AN050401, A709 Near Term Code Case ANL
- AT-24IN050402, A709 Near Term Code Case INL
- AT-24OR050403, A709 Near Term Code Case ORNL
- AT-24OR050501, A709 Long-term Creep and Thermal Aging Testing - ORNL
- AT-24IN050502, A709 fabrication process optimization INL
- RD-24AN040501, A709 sodium compatibility ANL

# Fast Reactor Materials Technical Staff

- Xuan Zhang, Yiren Chen, Mark Messner (ANL)
- Heramb Mahajan, Tate Patterson, Grace Burke, Sam Sham (INL)
- Yanli Wang, Zhili Feng (ORNL)
- Richard Wright, John Grubb, Walter Sperko (Subject Matter Experts)





## **NRC Endorsed ASME Section III, Division 5**

- Section III, Division 5, 2017 Edition was endorsed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), with exceptions and limitations, via Regulatory Guide 1.87 Revision 2, January 2023
- This is a significant milestone in the reduction of regulatory risk for reactor developers towards the licensing of their advanced reactors

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGULATORY GUIDE 1.87, REVISION 2



Issue Date: January 2023 Technical Lead: Jeffrey Poehler

#### ACCEPTABILITY OF ASME CODE, SECTION III, DIVISION 5, "HIGH TEMPERATURE REACTORS"

#### A. INTRODUCTION

Purpose

This regulatory guide (RG) describes an approach that is acceptable to the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to assure the mechanical/structural integrity of components that operate in elevated temperature environments and that are subject to time-dependent material properties and failure modes. It endorses, with exceptions and limitations, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel (BPV) Code (ASME Code) Section III, "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components," Division 5, "High Temperature Reactors" (Ref. 1), and several related code cases.

#### Applicability

This RG applies to non-light-water reactor (non-LWR) licensees and applicants subject to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities" (Ref. 2), and 10 CFR Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants" (Ref. 3).





### **ASTM Standards Specification for A709 Base Metal - Status**

- ASME Division 5 requires new material to have a specification in Section II, Part A or Part B, which incorporates the specification from the ASTM Standards
- ASTM Standards define specific characteristics the alloy must meet, these definitions are the basis for receiving inspection for material from a vendor as part of a NQA-1 quality program
- A709 (UNS S31025, Grade TP310MoCbN) has been approved under standard ASTM A213/213M-21b and ASME SA213/213M-21b "Standard Specification for Seamless Ferritic and Austenitic Alloy-Steel Boiler, Superheater, and Heat-Exchanger Tubes"
- Recent progress on adding A709 base metal plates standard specifications:
  - It has been approved and incorporated into ASTM A240/A240M REV A, (11/1/2023)
     "Standard Specification for Chromium and Chromium-Nickel Stainless Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip for Pressure Vessels and for General Applications"
  - Heat treatment requirements belong in ASTM A480/A480M "Standard Specification for General Requirements for Flat-Rolled Stainless and Heat- Resisting Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip". It has been balloted and approved to be included in this specification. It has been incorporated in ASTM A0480/A0480M-23 REV B (11/1/2023)





### **ASTM Standards Specification for A709 Weld Wire - Status**

- With A709 (UNS S31025) now in published standards, it will be straightforward to have it assigned a P-number in ASME Section IX.
  - That proposal has been submitted for the February 2024, Section IX committee meeting
- A709 has been used as filler metal in test coupons and been demonstrated as weldable. The data will be submitted to the American Welding Society (AWS) A5 committee for inclusion in AWS A5.9. That committee will assign it an AWS classification. Once that specification has been published, it will be assigned an A-number and an F-number in Section IX.
  - This request has been submitted to AWS in January 2024
- Since AWS A5.9 is on a 5-year publication cycle, and it was last published in 2022, bringing A709 wire into AWS A5.9 will take a while
  - This will not prevent its use as a filler metal since Section IX allows one to qualify any filler metal by defining its chemical composition and mechanical properties, so those using A709 can weld using 709 composition filler metal simply by qualifying a Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) using that filler metal





#### **A709 Code Case Testing Status - Tensile**



- Tensile code case testing on the three heats of A709 is approaching completion (with a few tests remaining to complete at 50°C interval)
- Tensile data were generated from RT to 1000°C with 2 repeats each condition.



### A709 Code Case Testing Status – Creep Rupture

#### Finished and ongoing creep tests





Creep-rupture data collected to date





### **Development of A709 Fatigue Design Curves**



- Data plotted are strain-controlled fatigue tests for the A709-PT materials from the first and second commercial heat
- Ongoing fatigue testing includes duplicates for the first two commercial heats (Carlson, ATI-1), and the full matrix for the 3<sup>rd</sup> commercial heat (ATI-2)





#### **Preliminary A709 Creep-Fatigue Interaction Diagram**



(0.1,0.1) or (0.2,0.2) are both reasonable intersection points





#### Establish Processing – Microstructure - Mechanical Properties Relationships for A709

- Work led by Grace Burke (INL)
- Experiments performed at the University of Pittsburgh
- Objectives of this A709 development work
  - To establish thermomechanical processing microstructure mechanical properties relationships
  - To develop fabrication parameters that can be employed for product forms such as bars, pipes, and forgings in addition to plates







#### Grain Coarsening and Continuous Cooling Precipitation (CCP) Behavior of A709

# Experimentaly determined Grain Coarsening Temperatures, $T_{GC}$

- Critical to ensure that all precipitates are dissolved so that a uniform final microstructure is obtained in the as-hot-worked condition.
- Important for both as-rolled as well as as-forged product forms.





 Critical information for different product forms



No precipitation was detected using SEM and dilatometry for the ATI A709 samples cooled at 10C/s and 1C/s

Prior to the CCP study, it was necessary to experimentally determine the grain coarsening temperature



FY25 and Beyond

S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of

NUCLEAR ENERGY



### Physics-Based Simulations of Long-Term Stress Relaxation in A709

#### Funded by NEAMS

- NEAMS supported the development of a physics-based model for A709 long-term creep in FY23
- FY24 use the model to look at long-term stress relaxation
  - Representative loading for fast reactors (low primary stress, high secondary stress)
  - No long-term test data
  - Could impact on A709 design rules
- Key questions:
  - Is there a threshold for stress relaxation?
  - Can the material fail under pure strain control?
  - If so, does damage continue to accumulate at the threshold stress?
- Additional work: tie precipitation hardening model to TC-PRISMA simulations (versus fit to thermal aging data)

A709 stress relaxation at 650°C, 1% strain. Plot shows stress relaxation profile and maximum GB damage. Video shows development of von Mises stress and GB damage in the microstructure.









P NEAN





#### A709 Design Parameters Development From Test Data

#### Required Design Parameters to be Generated from Design Data

Desi	ign Parameters	Required Test Data	D	esign Parameters	Required Test Data
• <i>S<sub>r</sub></i> :	: based on stress to rupture	Creep rupture data with full creep curves (time-dependent)	•	Creep-fatigue interaction diagram	Strain-controlled cyclic test data with hold times from base metal and weldment
• S <sub>t</sub> : tim to	: based on time to 1% total strain, ne to onset of tertiary creep, time rupture	Creep rupture data with full creep curves (time-dependent)	•	EPP strain limits and creep- fatigue	Data from two-bar and SMT tests; cyclic stress- strain curves, and tests with alternating fatigue and creep loading on the same specimen
$  S_m  S_0 $	$_{nt}$ : lesser of $(S_m, S_t)$ : lesser of $(S, S_{mt}@300,000h)$	Derived design parameters	•	EPP strain limits and creep- fatigue	Data from two-bar and SMT tests; cyclic stress- strain curves, and tests with alternating fatigue and creep loading on the same specimen
• Th ult	nermal aging factors on yield and timate	Tensile data of aged material (time- dependent)	•	EPP primary load	Data from creep curves to determine minimum creep rates
• <i>R</i> : ruj we	Stress rupture factor - based on pture strengths of base metal and eldment	Stress rupture data from base metal and weldment (time dependent)	•	Huddleston effective stress parameters	Multiaxial creep rupture data
• Iso co	ochronous stress-strain curves onstructed based on creep tests	Tensile stress-strain curves (time- independent), and creep strain data up to 3% (time-dependent)	•	External pressure charts	Data from tensile stress-strain curves (time- independent)
• Re	elaxation strength	Stress relaxation data	•	Time-temperature limits for external pressure charts	Developed from isochronous strain-strain curves
• Fa	atigue design curves	Strain-controlled continuous cycling test data	•	Inelastic constitutive model	All tensile, creep, fatigue and creep-fatigue data





## **A709 Isochronous Stress-Strain Curves Modeling**

- Time independent plastic strain modeling
  - Voce-hardening model

• 
$$\varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \sigma \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{-1}{\delta} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma - \sigma_1}{\sigma_p - \sigma_1} \right) & \sigma > \sigma_1 \end{cases}$$

- Database
  - Tensile tests at constant strain rate

- A709 Tensile Data
  - 82 tests
  - RT to 1000°C



- Creep strain modeling using minimum creep rate
  - Power-law creep model:

• 
$$\varepsilon_c = A \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \sigma^n t$$

- Database
  - Minimum creep rate data from full creep curves
- We will update in the future with a primary creep term to compare may not be needed





## **A709 Inelastic Constitutive Model Development**

- Initiated in FY24
- Complete unified model to describe high temperature cyclic and creep deformation
- Adopting "unified" model form under development through ART Gas-cooled Reactor Program, HTDM WP
- Work in progress using ANL's "pyoptmat" tool





Example of one model output (here for 800H): statistical predictions for creep curves









## **A709 Performance in Liquid Sodium**

## **Sodium Compatibility WP Overview**

#### **Objective - Support the regulatory acceptance of A709 for SFR applications**

- Evaluate the effects of sodium exposure on the service performance of A709
- Understand the microstructural stability of A709 in sodium environment

#### **Experimental evaluation:**



#### **Carburization/decarburization analysis**

- Calculate carbon activity in A709 influenced by different carbides
- Determine the crossover concentration for carburization and decarburization
- Carbon diffusion analysis in A709

Heat ID	Plate thickness	Solution anneal temperature	Precipitation heat treatment	
LI59776		1,100°C	-	
Carlson	1.1"	1,150°C	- 775°C, 10 hr	
	1 75"	1 150°C	-	
529900	1.75	1,150 C	775°C, 10 hr	
ATI-1	2 0"	1 150°C	-	
	2.0	1,100 0	775°C, 10 hr	

Office of

NUCLEAR ENERGY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



#### **Additional Tensile and Corrosion Data**





Red symbol : 316H SS Open symbol : Na-exp Close symbol : Aged

Additional tests show that the sodium-exposure and thermalaging data overlap significantly for A709, suggesting little or no sodium effect. Corrosion rates obtained so far are low and can be bounded by the Monju model lines at 0.1 and 5 ppm oxygen level



#### Exposure experiments completed by 2023 (\*On-going experiments)

Heat ID.	Heat- treatment conditions	Na-exposure time, hr			Thermal-aging time, hr		
		550°C	600°C	650°C	550°C	600°C	650°C
H58776 Carlson	SA 1,100°C	3k, 9k	3k, 9k	3k, 10k, 17k	3k, 10k	3k, 10k	3k, 10k, 20k
	SA 1,150°C	3k, 9k	3k, 9k	3k, 10k, 17k	3k, 10k	3k, 10k	3k, 10k, 20k
	SA 1,150°C + PT 775°C	-	-	9k	-	-	10k
ATI5299 00 ATI-1	SA 1,150°C	-	-	-	3k, 5.5k*	3k, 5.5k*	3k, 5.5k*
	SA 1,150°C + PT 775°C	-	-	-	3k, 4.8k*	3k, 4.8k*	3k, 4.8k*
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF _   Uttlice of							



#### **Carburization-Decarburization Behavior**



Carburization-decarburization boundary of A709 is lower than that of 316 SS, suggesting that A709 is less likely to be decarburized in low-carbon-activity environment.





## **Future Work**

- In addition to the A709 Code Case and sodium compatibility data package, additional A709 data are needed by reactor developers for reactor component design, construction and licensing
- Activities to address these issues are still under discussion and are not finalized





#### Notional Timeline for Developing A709 Irradiation Design Data

- Irradiation design data are needed for the design and licensing of reactor vessel and core supports
- Currently, there are no end-of-life design data for irradiated A709

 Need to expand PIE capacities for generating design data

Year 1	<ul> <li>Conduct Initial preparations for A709 Irradiation campaign:</li> <li>Test plan development, design and analysis of irradiation capsule, and specimens fabrication</li> </ul>
Year 2	<ul> <li>Continue design and analysis of irradiation capsule, and specimens fabrication</li> <li>Fabricate capsule/basket hardware, assemble experiment for irradiation</li> </ul>
Year 3	<ul> <li>Shipment of assembled experiment and begin irradiation at ATR</li> </ul>
Year 4	<ul> <li>Continue irradiation at ATR</li> <li>Begin post-irradiation characterization, including mechanical properties testing and microscopy</li> </ul>
Year 5	<ul> <li>Continue irradiation at ATR</li> <li>Continue post-irradiation characterization</li> </ul>
Year 6	<ul> <li>Complete irradiation at ATR</li> <li>Continue post-irradiation characterization</li> </ul>
Year 7	<ul> <li>Complete post-irradiation characterization, including mechanical properties testing and microscopy</li> </ul>





#### Establish Optimized Thermomechanical Processing Parameters for A709 Deployment

- A709 product forms such as plate, bar, pipe, fitting and forging are required for reactor component construction (vessel, core barrel, piping, tubing, etc.)
- Previous experience with 316SS and other alloys showed that thermomechanical processing parameters have significant influence on the microstructure and mechanical properties of the component (particularly forgings)
  - Develop optimized thermomechanical processing parameters
  - Establish acceptance criteria for forgings (testing of prolongations, etc.)
- Work with material vendor/component fabricator to produce a scaled, forged mockup vessel to demonstrate the effectiveness of the optimized processing and acceptance criteria
- Conduct instrumented thermal cycling of the scaled, forged mockup vessel to failure to generate validation data for ASME design rules





# Thank you for your attention



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Office of NUCLEAR ENERGY