INL/CON-24-76797-Revision-0



Designing Hybrid Systems to Optimize Resilience

February 2024

Megan Jordan Culler

Idaho National Laboratory

hanging the World's Energy Future

INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory operated by Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC

DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Designing Hybrid Systems to Optimize Resilience

Megan Jordan Culler

February 2024

Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

http://www.inl.gov

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under DOE Idaho Operations Office Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517



Megan Culler

Power Engineer & Researcher Idaho National Laboratory

February 27, 2024

Designing Hybrid Systems to Optimize Resilience



INL/CON-24-76797

On Site Wind for Rural Load Centers



Funded by the Wind Energy Technologies Office



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Agricultural

Distributed Wind Hybrid Toolkit



LREC Example: Hybrid generation mixes

- Original system:
 - Wind: 2.0 MW
 - PV: 500.0 kW
 - Interconnection: 2.0 MW
 - Hybrid Capacity Factor: 32.4 %
 - Percentage of total demand: 1.8%



<u>https://www.cooperative.com/programs-</u> services/bts/radwind/Documents/RADWIND-Case-Study-Lake-Region-May-2021.pdf</u>

LREC Example: Savings analysis for different configurations and feeders – without storage

	Case summary	Case description
1	Balanced	11.5 MW wind, 3.0 MW solar, 0 MWh battery
2	Balanced	11.5 MW wind, 3.0 MW solar, 0 MWh battery
3	Wind only	13.8 MW wind, 3.0 MW solar, 0 MWh battery
4	Cost Prioritized	4.6 MW wind, 1.0 MW solar, 0 MWh battery
5	PV only	0 MW wind, 25 MW solar, 0 MWh battery



Annual Savings per feeder

Resilience through hybrid power systems

- Suit the needs of my community.
- Balance cost AND benefits.
- Economically viable and operationally practical.
- Create a level of self-sustenance.
- Drive system development by local interests.
 - Know the historic events
 - Know the customer needs and flexibilities
 - Know the neighboring communities and mutual aid networks
 - Know the system strengths and weaknesses

Think about the needs, resources, and social capital of your community.

Load Profiles Analysis







date

Healthcare facilities (same town)

cooling method, building efficiency, Facility 1: Varied peaking and type of services. Demand (kWh) Demand (kWh) More random peaking, but relatively constant window. Notice gap in mid-range power. Time (hour) Time (hour) Facility 2: Consistent weekly peaking Demand (kWh) Demand (kWh) High relative daily peaks, But not all days have peaking.

IDAHO NATIONAL LABORATORY

Variance in bed count, heating/

Living-care facility (same town)



Larger seasonal winter demand

Larger seasonal summer demand

Community interests

Communities have varied & unique interests. Resilience is created by serving all of those.

- What is fiscally feasible?
- What is politically easy?
- What is technically achievable?
- Ownership benefits are rate dependent.
 - Commercial owners?
 - Residential owners?
 - Utility owners?
- Beyond conventional use
 - disaster response plans for critical loads

It is important to have a mix of resources. Each type has a role. The amount of generation needed to match load is finite and may have numerous contributors. Each rate class may experience benefit differently – What ownership model brings the most community benefit? Use the natural resource as it is available. Cache energy in storage mechanisms to fill gaps in natural resource.

Business operations

Hybrids can provide cost-effective process solutions. Local energy storage can avoid critical shutdowns. Modular and mobile units for remote interim power.

- Habits may change to match available resources.
 - Machines paired with a rich natural resource.
 - Processes located to capture onsite energy.
- Markets may shift with evolution of energy systems.
 - Forecasting is as important as historical views.

SunCrate Mobile Microgrid powering BES Water Solutions' wastewater treatment



Battery served 50-60% of the time. If use propane alone (2 gal/hr, \$2/gal): \$21,792 for 8 months. Actual generator fuel cost: \$3,904 (976 hours of runtime) Fuel-cost savings by adding PV+Battery: \$17,888.

Onboard propane used for setup, extreme cold, and night operation. The addition of wind power would further reduce fuel usage. Constant pumping and aeration to stimulate biologic activity; 3-5 kW. Improve rural water quality.

Serve load and be available for relocation with 10-hour+ at 7.5 kW.

Led to second design: PowerPallet – Battery+converters, 12 kW, 90 kWh



Suncrate Mobile Microgrid powering BES Wastewater



Conditions and limitations

Match the resource and the load with goals of the locality and constraints of the region.

- What drives the load/generation profiles and development constraints?
 - Environment suitability fires/floods, land cost/use (e.g. ag, housing), right-of-ways
 - $\,\circ\,$ Community values, social and economic activity
 - o Infrastructure (power lines, pipelines, roads, rails, ports...)
- What drives how resource and load are paired?
 - Location of load center, and surrounding landscape
 - Space, surface area, height, setbacks
 - Distribution network
 - Costs of repowering machines or facilities
- What are the resilience needs of the community
 - Critical loads
 - Understand emergency response plan



Resilience Framework for Electric Energy Delivery Systems

Idaho National Laboratory

Battelle Energy Alliance manages INL for the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy. INL is the nation's center for nuclear energy research and development, and also performs research in each of DOE's strategic goal areas: energy, national security, science and the environment.

WWW.INL.GOV