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A MULTI-FACTOR ANALYSIS OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL RESIDUE REMOVAL POTENTIAL

Jared Abodeelya, David Muthb, Paul Adlerb, Eleanor Campbelle, Kenneth Brydend

Agricultural residues have significant potential as a near term source of cellulosic biomass for bioenergy production, but sustainable removal of agricultural residues requires consideration of the critical roles that residues play in the agronomic system. Previous work has developed an integrated model to evaluate sustainable agricultural residue removal potential considering soil erosion, soil organic carbon, greenhouse gas emission, and long-term yield impacts of residue removal practices. The integrated model couples the environmental process models WEPS, RUSLE2, SCI, and DAYCENT. This study uses the integrated model to investigate the impact of interval removal practices in Boone County, Iowa, US. Residue removal of 4.5 Mg/ha was performed annually, bi-annually, and tri-annually and were compared to no residue removal. The study is performed at the soil type scale using a national soil survey database assuming a continuous corn rotation with reduced tillage. Results are aggregated across soil types to provide county level estimates of soil organic carbon changes and individual soil type soil organic matter content if interval residue removal were implemented. Results show interval residue removal is possible while improving soil organic matter. Implementation of interval removal practices provide greater increases in soil organic matter while still providing substantial residue for bioenergy production.
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INTRODUCTION

Agricultural residues are the plant material other than grain (cob, stalk, leaves, etc.) produced when commodity grain crops such as corn (Zea mays L.), wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) are grown. Removing agricultural residues for energy production has received significant attention over the past few decades. However, determining the quantity of agricultural residues that can be sustainably removed from a field is challenging because of the diverse functions that agricultural residues provide within the agroecosystem. Considering this range of functions Wilhelm et al. (2010) identified six environmental factors that can potentially...
limit the quantity of agricultural residues that can be removed sustainably: soil organic
carbon, wind and water erosion, plant nutrient balances, soil water and temperature
dynamics, soil compaction, and off-site environmental impacts. The impact of residue
removal on soil organic matter has received considerable attention among these six
limiting factors because soil organic matter is critical to long term soil productivity. Soil
organic matter enables nutrient cycles, helps stabilize soil structure, and facilitates
water retention resulting in increased crop productivity. Soil organic matter also helps
mitigate soil erosion losses due to wind and water (Johnson et al., 2010). Because of
this it is essential to understand how residue removal impacts soil organic matter to
determine the long term sustainability of a specific residue removal practice.

This paper utilizes a model integration framework to quantitatively analyze how
residue removal practices impact long term soil organic matter levels. There are a wide
range of potential residue removal practices and each can impact soil organic matter
levels differently. Previous efforts have qualitatively considered how changing the
removal rates, i.e. the percentage of residue biomass taken off the field, can impact soil
organic matter levels (Muth et al., 2012). The challenge with implementing lower
removal rates to address soil organic matter constraints, and broader sustainability
concerns, is that lower removal rates are often not economically viable (Hess et al.,
2009). One way to address concerns about soil organic matter losses and still remove
residues at rates which are economically viable is to implement interval removal
practices. These practices will remove residues one out of every two or three years that
a residue producing crop is grown on a field. Interval removal practices have significant
potential but need further analysis. To provide this analysis, this paper extends the
previous integrated model developed by Muth and Bryden (2012) to provide quantitative
soil organic matter analyses using the DAYCENT model (Parton et al., 1998) to assess
the sustainability of interval residue removal practices.

Muth and Bryden (2012) developed an integrated model for determining
sustainable agricultural residue removal using the model integration framework VE-
Suite (McCorkle and Bryden 2007). The integrated model performs sustainable residue
removal assessments considering water erosion, wind erosion, and soil organic matter
constraints. Three models were integrated into the framework: The Revised Universal

Extension of the integrated model to include DAYCENT provides the ability to quantify the impacts of sustainable residue removal on the soil and environment. DAYCENT is a biogeochemical model that simulates daily fluxes of carbon and nitrogen between the atmosphere, vegetation, and soil (Del Grosso et al., 2001). DAYCENT is comprised of several submodels that together enable assessments of nitrogen-gas fluxes, carbon dioxide flux from soil respiration, soil organic carbon and nitrogen, new primary production, and daily water and nitrate leaching. This study investigates four interval removal practices in Boone County, Iowa, US: no residue, annual, bi-annual, and tri-annual removal. Results were aggregated over 30 soils under a continuous corn rotation and reduced tillage management to provide a county level assessment of soil organic matter impacts.

METHODOLOGY

The integrated model is comprised of disparate models and databases that together provide the capability to quantitatively assess the impact of residue removal on soil organic carbon. Error! Reference source not found. shows the flow of information within the model integration framework. Users select the area of interest, crop rotation, land management practices, and residue removal scenario. Soil and climate data are dynamically acquired based upon the selected area. Because the integrated models were developed and maintained by different organizations, file formats, data acquisition, and model execution are different. To address this issue, databases and file converters have been developed to enable consistent data flow across the integrated models.

The model simulation is a two-part process: calibration of the integrated model and scenario simulation. First, model inputs are initialized for a defined scenario. Spatial selection defines the climates and soils for the scenario. Climate and soil databases are
loaded and queried to create the respective input files. Management and simulation files are built for WEPS and then the model is executed. Wind erosion results are passed into RUSLE2. RUSLE2 is executed and results are passed into SCI. SCI uses organic matter, field operation, and water erosion results from RUSLE2 and wind erosion results from WEPS to determine the soil conditioning index. Sustainability is defined as total erosion being less than the t-factor for the soil and a SCI greater than or equal to zero. If sustainable, DAYCENT is then initialized with soil, climate, and management data consistent with previous models. Erosion results are passed to DAYCENT and the crop is calibrated against the yield input. Once the calibration process is completed, the scenario is simulated considering soil, climate, and land management practices using the same sequence of execution.

The integrated model is utilized to assess an interval residue removal scenario for a continuous corn rotation under reduced tillage land management practices in Boone County, Iowa, US. The 2010 Billion Ton Update (US DOE, 2011) yield scenario is the basis for calibration of the integrated model. The climate inputs utilize stochastically generated data for a single year allowing the simulation to run without considering any climatic stress events that may actually occur over a 20-year weather cycle. Interval residue removal practices are run across each soil to determine the impacts on soil organic matter for the major soil types used in row crop production in Boone County.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study investigated the long-term impact of interval removal practices on soil organic carbon under a continuous corn rotation and reduced tillage management practices (Figure 2). Annual removal of nearly 4.5 Mg/ha increases soil organic carbon under each scenario but over the 20 year simulation soil organic carbon is reduced more than 7 Mg/ha relative to the no removal scenario. Table 1 shows the predicted changes in soil organic matter. The results show that some soils could potentially reach over 9% soil organic matter under no residue removal management. Two key
conclusions result from this analysis. First, for each of these soils and residue removal practices the long term soil organic carbon increases. This is a very positive conclusion suggesting that residue removal at the modeled rates will be sustainable for energy production. Second, the interval removal schemas provide an agronomic strategy which will result in less soil organic matter stress than annual removal. This is important for considering best management practices for residue removal on land which is potentially at risk.
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Table 1. Predicted changes to soil organic matter in the top 6 soils in Boone County considering interval residue removal practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Map Unit Acres (%)</th>
<th>% Organic Matter (SSURGO)</th>
<th>Predicted levels of SOM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canisteo silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>24.54</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.22 8.52 8.92 9.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarion loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.96 4.59 4.80 4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niccolot loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.81 7.21 7.55 7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.14 8.44 8.81 8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harps loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.11 6.57 6.87 6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarion loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, moderately eroded</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.81 3.50 3.67 3.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1.** Data flow through the integrated model

**Figure 2.** Changes to soil organic carbon per interval harvesting scenario