Test Plan for Reirradiation and 1200C Heating Test of AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1

John D Stempien

September 2019



The INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory operated by Battelle Energy Alliance

Test Plan for Reirradiation and 1200C Heating Test of AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1

John D Stempien

September 2019

Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

http://www.inl.gov

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy

Under DOE Idaho Operations Office Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517

Document ID: PLN-5886 Revision ID: 0

Effective Date: 09/03/2019 INL/EXT-19-55608


Plan

Project No. 29412, 23841

Test Plan for Reirradiation and 1200°C Heating Test of AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1

The INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory operated by Battelle Energy Alliance





Michelle T. Sharp INL Quality Engineer

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: iii of vi
ART Program Plan		eCR Number:	671940
Prepared by:	,		
John D. Stempien ART AGR TRISO Fuels PIE Technical Lead		9/3/2019 Date	
Reviewed by: Cad L. Christensen HFEF Process Engineer		9/3/19 Date	
Paul A. Demkowicz ART AGR TRISO Fuels Program Director		9/3/19 Date	•
Travis R. Mitchell INL ART Project Manager		Date	

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: iv of vi

REVISION LOG

Rev.	Date	Affected Pages	Revision Description
0	09/03/2019	All	See eCR 671940. New issue.

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND 1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4 COMPACT 8-1

Identifier: PLN-5886

Revision: 0

Effective Date: 09/03/2019

Page: v of vi

CONTENTS

ACR	NYNC	MS	vi
1.	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2.	DES 2.1 2.2 2.3	CRIPTION OF THE REIRRADIATION/HEATING TEST. Fuel Description Reirradiation and Heating Testing 2.2.1 Process Overview 2.2.2 Heating Test Conditions. Post-test Activities 2.3.1 Compact Analyses 2.3.2 Post-test Purge, Condensation Plate Analyses, and Furnace Cleanup	13477
	2.4	Data Processing and Transmittal	8
Figur	T x e 2. F a a e 3. T	FIGURES mage of an AGR-3/4 fuel compact (left) and x-ray side view image (right) (Hunn, Trammell, and Montgomery 2011). DTF particles are highlighted with red dots in the -ray image. low chart of compact reirradiation, heating testing, and gamma scanning/counting ctivities. emperature profile for the test, including time and temperatures of plate changes. See Table 4 for complete list of plate exchanges and timings of exchanges.	4
		TABLES	
Table	1. Se	lected fuel fabrication and irradiation properties.	2
Table		GR-3/4 estimated DTF particle failure count in each irradiation capsule. There were our compacts in each capsule. Table from (Collin 2015b) and (Scates 2015)	3
Table	3. Ra	mp and soak cycles for the heating test.	5
Table	N	hedule for FACS condensation plate exchanges if test starts at 8:00 AM on a Monday. Note that final plate change interval is 30 minutes prior to ramp down from test emperature.	5

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND 1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4 COMPACT 8-1

Identifier: PLN-5886

Revision: 0

Effective Date: 09/03/2019 Page: vi of vi

ACRONYMS

AGR Advanced Gas Reactor

AL Analytical Laboratory

ART Advanced Reactor Technologies

ATR Advanced Test Reactor

DTF designed-to-fail

FACS Fuel Accident Condition Simulator

FGMS Fission Gas Monitoring System

FIMA fissions per initial heavy metal atom

HFEF Hot Fuels Examination Facility

HOG HFEF Out-of-cell Gamma

ICP-MS Inductively-coupled plasma mass-spectrometry

INL Idaho National Laboratory

IPyC inner pyrolytic carbon

LBL leach-burn-leach

NRAD Neutron Radiography Reactor

OPyC outer pyrolytic carbon

ORNL Oak Ridge National Laboratory

PGS Precision Gamma Scanner

PIE post-irradiation examination

PyC pyrocarbon

SiC silicon carbide

TA time-average

TAVA time-average volume-average

TRISO tristructural isotropic

UCO uranium carbide/uranium oxide mixture

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND 1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4 COMPACT 8-1 Identifier: PLN-5886
Revision: 0
Effective Date: 09/03/2019 Page: 1 of 9

1. INTRODUCTION

Post-irradiation examination (PIE) and heating tests of tristructural isotropic (TRISO)-coated fuel particles, fuel compacts, and graphite from Advanced Gas Reactor (AGR) irradiation experiment AGR-3/4 are in progress. The AGR-3/4 test train consisted of twelve separate capsules irradiated in the northeast flux trap of the Advanced Test Reactor (ATR) at Idaho National Laboratory (INL) from December 14, 2011, to April 12, 2014 (Collin 2015b). This test plan describes the reirradiation and subsequent heating test of AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1. One of the main goals of this test is to assess the retention of short-lived fission products I-131 ($t_{1/2} = 8.02$ d) and Xe-133 ($t_{1/2} = 5.2$ d) in fuel kernels. To generate short-lived fission products, the compact will first be reirradiated in the Neutron Radiography Reactor (NRAD). Following reirradiation, the compact will be removed from NRAD, gamma scanned on the Precision Gamma Scanner (PGS), and then loaded into the Fuel Accident Condition Simulator (FACS) furnace for heating at 1200°C. Fission gases and condensable fission products released from the compact will be collected in the Fission Gas Monitoring System (FGMS) and the FACS condensation plates, respectively.

The planned uses of AGR-3/4 compacts (including heating testing and destructive analysis) are discussed in the AGR-3/4 PIE plan (Demkowicz 2017). Significant portions of this plan have been completed and additional samples are being used for purposes not captured in that plan.

DESCRIPTION OF THE REIRRADIATION/HEATING TEST 2.1 Fuel Description

One of several features of AGR-3/4 that set it apart from AGR-1 and AGR-2 is the incorporation of 20 designed-to-fail (DTF) particles in each compact. The fuel kernels in the DTF particles were coated only with a thin (20 µm thick) pyrocarbon layer having high anisotropy such that the coating would most-likely fail during the irradiation, resulting in up to 20 exposed kernels per compact (Collin 2015a). All AGR-3/4 TRISO-coated particles (both driver particles and DTF particles) contain low-enriched UCO (a uranium carbide, uranium oxide mixture) fuel kernels approximately 350 µm in diameter manufactured at BWX Technologies Nuclear Operations Group (Lynchburg, VA). The U-235 enrichment was 19.7%. Each AGR-3/4 compact contains approximately 1872 driver fuel particles and precisely 20 DTF particles. As shown in Figure 1, the DTF particles (highlighted in red) were aligned in each compact roughly along the compact axial centerline. The white circles in Figure 1 are the driver particles. The DTF particles were fabricated at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The driver fuel particles were also fabricated at ORNL by applying TRISO coatings to the kernels, with the following average thickness for each layer:

- Buffer: 109.7 μm
- Inner pyrolytic carbon (IPyC): 40.4 μm
- silicon carbide (SiC): 33.5 μm
- Outer pyrolytic carbon (OPyC): 41.3 μm.

AGR-3/4 fuel compacts were fabricated at ORNL. The compacts are nominally 12.3 mm in diameter and 12.5 mm long (in contrast to the AGR-1 and AGR-2 compacts, which were approximately 25 mm long). A summary of AGR-3/4 fuel properties are provided in the AGR-3/4 Irradiation Experiment Test Plan (Collin 2015a). Detailed characterization data of the fuel particles and compacts have been provided by ORNL (Hunn and Lowden 2007; Hunn, Trammel, and Montgomery, 2011; Kercher et al. 2011).

AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1 was chosen for this 1200°C heating test. In the X-Y compact naming convention, X denotes the capsule number, and Y denotes the level of the compact within the capsule. In

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: 2 of 9

AGR-3/4 there were four compacts per capsule, and thus, Compact 8-1 was the bottom-most compact in Capsule 8. Fabrication parameters and elements of the irradiation history for AGR-3/4 Compact 8-1 are listed in Table 1. The estimated number of DTF particle failures per AGR-3/4 irradiation capsule is listed in Table 2. Based on experience from AGR-1, it is very unlikely that any driver particles failed during the irradiation; therefore, all supposed in-pile particle failures are assumed to be DTF failures. Since there are only 80 DTF particles per capsule, a combination of measurement uncertainty and calculational biases in the physics analyses may explain why greater than 80 DTF particle failures are listed in the best-estimate and/or max columns for several capsules. Using the maximum and minimum values for Capsule 8, it is estimated that between 14 and 20 failed DTF particles may be present in Compact 8-1 at the start of this test.




Figure 1. Image of an AGR-3/4 fuel compact (left) and x-ray side view image (right) (Hunn, Trammell, and Montgomery 2011). DTF particles are highlighted with red dots in the x-ray image.

Table 1. Selected fuel fabrication and irradiation properties.

Compact ^a	8-1
Fuel Compact Fabrication ID ^b	(LEU03-10T-OP2/LEU03-07DTF-OP1)-Z116
UCO Fuel Kernel Lot ^b	Lot G37V-20-69303
TRISO-coated Particle Lot (driver particles) ^b	LEU03-09T (renamed as LEU03-10T)
Designed-to-fail Particle Lot ^b	LEU03-07DTF
Compact Average Burnup (%FIMA) ^c	14.51
Compact average Fast Fluence (n/m ² , E > 0.18 MeV) ^c	5.13×10 ²⁵
TAVA Irradiation Temperature (°C) ^d	1165
TA Peak Irradiation Temperature (°C) ^e	1242
TA Minimum Irradiation Temperature (°C) ^e	1063

- a. X-Y naming convention denotes location in irradiation test train: Capsule-Level (Demkowicz 2017).
- b. From (Collin 2015a) and (Hunn, Trammel, and Montgomery, 2011).
- c. Based on physics calculations (Sterbentz 2015).
- d. TAVA = Time-average volume average temperature determined from thermal calculations (Hawkes 2016).
- e. TA = Time-average temperature, determined from thermal calculations (Hawkes 2016).

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4
COMPACT 8-1Identifier:
Revision:PLN-5886
0Effective Date:09/03/2019Page: 3 of 9


Table 2. AGR-3/4 estimated DTF particle failure count in each irradiation capsule. There were four compacts in each capsule. Table from (Collin 2015b) and (Scates 2015).

Capsule	Best-estimate	Max	Min
Capsuic			
1	41	81	21
2	91	168	51
3	96	146	53
4	76	100	57
5	54	92	36
6	47	53	42
7	52	75	38
8	78	129	54
9	90	99	98
10	47	75	36
11	69	92	48
12	39	49	38

2.2 Reirradiation and Heating Test

2.2.1 Process Overview

Figure 2 shows a flow chart depicting the major steps of the compact reirradiation/heating test. Utilizing the NRAD cask, the compact will be loaded into NRAD for reirradiation for up to 7 consecutive, 24-hour days. A shorter reirradiation may be conducted after consulting with the PIE Technical Lead. Following completion of the reirradiation, the compact will be unloaded from NRAD and loaded into the PGS to quantify the compact inventory of gamma-emitting fission products. Special emphasis shall be placed on detecting I-131 and Xe-133. Once gamma scanning has completed, the compact will be loaded into the FACS furnace for heating. Condensation plates exchanged during the heating test shall be gamma counted on the Hot Fuels Examination Facility (HFEF) Out-of-cell Gamma (HOG) station as they become available. The heating test will continue while plates exchanged early in the test are counted. After gamma counting, the condensation plates shall be transferred to the Analytical Lab (AL) for Sr-90 analysis. After the FACS test has completed, the compact will be removed and counted on PGS. After PGS, the compact will be stored at HFEF for possible future transfer to AL. Some decay time between steps may be appropriate to reduce gamma detector dead times. The sequence and duration of the decay time shall be discussed with the Fuels PIE Technical Lead in advance of the pause to allow some radioisotope decay. Certain major steps are discussed in additional detail in the following subsections.






Figure 2. Flow chart of compact reirradiation, heating test and gamma scanning/counting activities.

2.2.2 Heating Test Conditions

The compact will be loaded into a tantalum sample holder in the FACS furnace. Helium flow in the flow tube will be at a rate of 900 mL/min, and the flow in the vessel will be 100 mL/min. The cold finger position will be 17.0 cm. The sample holder should be positioned to center the specimen vertically in the furnace. Prior to starting the furnace heating profile, helium will flow through the furnace for approximately 24 hours in order to purge it. During this pre-test purge, the helium will bypass the FGMS.

After the purge has been completed, the furnace heating profile can be started and the helium gas flow will be directed to the FGMS traps. FGMS will continually monitor its cold traps for Kr-85 and Xe-133. To drive out any moisture from the compact, the furnace should first be heated under a helium environment from room temperature to 300° C in 2-hours. This temperature shall be held for 2-hours. After this 2-hour hold, the temperature will be raised from 300° C to 1200° C at a heating rate of 550° C/hr (where the ramp time is 1.64 hrs). The temperature shall be held for 300 hours at 1200° C. The total time from the beginning of the initial ramp up from room temperature to the end of the final ramp back down to room temperature is 308.6 hours. The temperatures shall be maintained within the accuracy of the FACS thermocouples, typically $\pm 1\%$.

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: 5 of 9

The ramp and soak cycles for this temperature profile are given in Table 3, and the condensation plate change schedule is given in Table 4. The temperature program and condensation plate exchanges are visualized in Figure 3. Condensation plates should be exchanged as close to the schedule indicated in Table 4 and Figure 3 as possible. Some deviations from exact times may be required to accommodate work shifts. Alterations to the plate exchange schedule may also be made after discussing with the Fuels PIE Technical Lead. Plate exchanges occurring immediately before, during, or after temperature ramps should be performed on-schedule. After removal from the furnace, all condensation plates will be placed in individual, labeled containers.

The FACS furnace and supporting systems will be operated according to relevant laboratory instructions and procedures. The FACS process engineer shall provide the Fuels PIE Technical Lead with periodic updates to the FACS run data for review during the test. Analysis of furnace process data (temperatures, voltage and current, flow rates, etc.) will be performed to verify correct operation of the system.

AGR-3/4 compacts with DTF particles will start with exposed fuel kernels similar to what would be expected if all three TRISO layers failed on a TRISO-coated particle. In addition, even intact DTF particles are expected to be less retentive than TRISO particles with failed SiC. Depending on the levels of Kr-85 or Xe-133 measured in the FGMS traps and/or other considerations, the heating test may be terminated early only after consulting with the Fuels PIE Technical Lead. After consulting with the Fuels PIE Technical Lead, the temperature program and/or condensation plate exchange schedule may also be modified.

Table 3. Ramp and soak cycles for the heating test.

Start Temperature (°C)	Ramp Time from Start Temperature to End Temperature (hrs)	End Temperature (°C)	Soak Time at End Temperature (hrs)
30*	2	300	2
300	1.64	1200	300
1200	3	30*	0

^{*} The start temperature should roughly correspond to ambient temperature of the hot cell.

Table 4. Schedule for FACS condensation plate exchanges if test starts at 8:00 AM on a Monday. Note that final plate change interval is 30 minutes prior to ramp down from test temperature

Elapsed Time (hr)	Temp (°C)	Time	Notes on Plate Change
3.5	300	Monday 11:30:00 AM	Exchange 30 minutes before initiating ramp from 300°C to 1200°C
4.82	750	Monday 12:49:05 PM	Exchange half-way through ramp from 300°C to 1200°C
6.14	1200	Monday 2:08:11 PM	Exchange 30 minutes after reaching 1200°C
8.00	1200	Monday 4:00:00 PM	Exchange 1.86 hours after previous exchange
11.50	1200	Monday 7:30:00 PM	Exchange 3.5 hours after previous exchange
15.50	1200	Monday 11:30:00 PM	Exchange 4 hours after previous exchange

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND 1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4 COMPACT 8-1 Identifier: PLN-5886 Revision: 0 Effective Date: 09/03/2019 Page: 6 of 9

Table 4. (continued).

1 able 4. (co	Jii iii ucu	<u>/</u> ·	
Elapsed Time (hr)	Temp (°C)	Time	Notes on Plate Change
23.50	1200	Tuesday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 8 hours after previous exchange
35.50	1200	Tuesday 7:30:00 PM	Exchange 12 hours after previous exchange
47.50	1200	Wednesday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 12 hours after previous exchange
59.50	1200	Wednesday 7:30:00 PM	Exchange 12 hours after previous exchange
71.50	1200	Thursday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 12 hours after previous exchange
95.50	1200	Friday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
119.50	1200	Saturday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
143.50	1200	Sunday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
167.50	1200	Monday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
191.50	1200	Tuesday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
215.50	1200	Wednesday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
239.50	1200	Thursday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
263.50	1200	Friday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
287.50	1200	Saturday 7:30:00 AM	Exchange 24 hours after previous exchange
305.50	1200	Sunday 1:30:00 AM	Exchange 18 hours after previous exchange, 30 min prior to shutdown

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4
COMPACT 8-1Identifier:
Revision:PLN-5886
0Effective Date:09/03/2019Page: 7 of 9




Figure 3. Temperature profile for the test, including time and temperatures of plate changes. See Table 4 for complete list of plate exchanges and timings of exchanges.

2.3 Post-test Activities

2.3.1 Compact Analyses

Following the FACS test, the compact will be removed from the FACS sample holder and scanned on PGS. After PGS scanning has finished the compact will be placed in a storage container. At a later date, the compact may be sent either to AL or ORNL for radial deconsolidation. A general description of the radial deconsolidation process is available in (Stempien 2017). Briefly, the compact will be electrolytically deconsolidated in three or four radial steps. For each radial step, particles, matrix debris, and a deconsolidation solution will be generated. The particles and matrix debris from each radial segment will undergo leach-burn-leach (LBL) analysis, and 30 particles from each radial segment will be selected for gamma counting following LBL. The deconsolidation solutions and solutions from particle/matrix LBL will undergo gamma counting and Sr-90 analysis; and inductively-coupled plasma-mass-spectrometry (ICP-MS) may also be performed for actinides. After radial deconsolidation, a compact core (containing the DTF particles) remains. This core will be axially deconsolidated in a single step, generating free particles, matrix debris, and a deconsolidation solution. The particles and matrix debris will undergo LBL, and 30 particles will then be selected for gamma counting. The deconsolidation solution will have gamma counting and Sr-90 analyses; and ICP-MS may also be performed.

2.3.2 Post-test Purge, Condensation Plate Analyses, and Furnace Cleanup

When the test has concluded, a 24 hour post-test purge with helium shall be performed at a total helium flow rate of 1000 mL/min. The details of the post-test purge (e.g., duration and flow rate) may be altered after discussing with the Fuels PIE Technical Lead.

All condensation plates changed during the test and subsequent cleanup run (described below) shall be gamma counted at the HOG. After gamma counting, plates will undergo acid leaching and analysis for

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: 8 of 9

Sr-90 at AL. Following removal of the compact, a clean-up run will be performed with the FACS furnace. The objective of this run is to ensure that any remaining fission products released during the test and deposited on the furnace tantalum internals have been removed or to ensure that they are relatively stable at the temperatures likely to be encountered during future testing and will not influence the results of subsequent tests. The furnace will be heated to a temperature of approximately 1725°C at a rate of ~600°C/h with no specimen on the sample holder and helium flowing at a total rate of 1000 mL/min. The FGMS will run for the duration of the cleanup run to monitor the Kr-85 inventory collected from the furnace. The duration of the hold at ~1725°C will be approximately 48-hours with two condensation plate changes during the clean-up run (a total of three condensation plates will be exposed in the furnace during the clean-up run). The cleanup run temperature, hold time, ramp rates and number of plate exchanges etc. may be altered after consulting the Fuels PIE Technical Lead. The furnace power will then be shut off so that the furnace executes an uncontrolled return to ambient temperature. Cleanup run condensation plates will be placed in individual, labeled containers. These plates will be gamma counted on the HOG station. Following gamma counting, plate leaching and Sr-90 analysis will be performed at AL. The effectiveness of the cleanup run will be assessed based on the measured activity on the three condensation plates used during the run.

2.4 Data Processing and Transmittal

At the completion of the test, the FACS process engineer will provide a formal data transmittal consisting of the daily FACS furnace system run data. The FGMS lead engineer will process the FGMS data to determine time-dependent Kr-85 and Xe-133 activity in the FGMS cold traps and provide these data to the Fuels PIE Technical Lead.

3. REFERENCES

- Collin, Blaise P., 2015a, "AGR-3/4 Irradiation Experiment Test Plan," PLN-3867, Idaho National Laboratory, Rev. 1, May 2015.
- Collin, Blaise P., 2015b, "AGR-3/4 Irradiation Test Final As-Run Report", INL/EXT-15-35550, Rev. 0, Idaho National Laboratory, June 2015.
- Demkowicz, Paul A., 2017, "AGR-3/4 Phase 2 Post-Irradiation Examination Plan," PLN-5382, Idaho National Laboratory, May 5, 2017.
- Hawkes, Grant L., 2016, "AGR-3/4 Daily As-Run Thermal Analyses," ECAR-2807, Rev. 1, Idaho National Laboratory, April 21, 2016.
- Hunn, J.D., R.A. Lowden, 2007, "Data Compilation for AGR-3/4 Driver Fuel Coated Particle Composite LEU03-09T", ORNL/TM-2007/019, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Hunn, J., M.P. Trammell, F.C. Montgomery, 2011, "Data Compilation for AGR-3/4 Designed-to-Fail (DTF) Fuel Compact Lot (LEU03-10T-OP2/LEU03-07DTF-OP1)-Z", ORNL/TM-2011/124, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- Kercher, A.K., B.C. Jolly, F.C. Montgomery, G.W.C. Silva, and J.D. Hunn, 2011, "Data Compilation for AGR-3/4 Designed-To-Fail (DTF) Fuel Particle Batch LEU03-07DTF", ORNL/TM-2011/109, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Scates, D. M., 2015, "Release-to-Birth Ratios for AGR-3/4 Operating Cycles 151A through 155B", ECAR-2457, Rev. 1, June 5, 2015.
- Stempien, J.D., 2017, "Radial Deconsolidation and Leach-Burn-Leach of AGR-3/4 Compacts 3-3, 12-1, and 12-3", INL/EXT-17-43182, Idaho National Laboratory, September, 2017.

TEST PLAN FOR REIRRADIATION AND	Identifier:	PLN-5886	
1200°C HEATING TEST OF AGR-3/4	Revision:	0	
COMPACT 8-1	Effective Date:	09/03/2019	Page: 9 of 9

Sterbentz, James W., 2015, "JMOCUP As-Run Daily Physics Depletion Calculation for the AGR-3/4 TRISO Particle Experiment in the ATR Northeast Flux Trap," ECAR-2753, Rev. 1, Idaho National Laboratory, September 2015.