



FCIC DFO--Moisture Management and Optimization in Municipal Solid Waste Feedstock through Mechanical Processing

March 2021

Changing the World's Energy Future

Jaya Shankar Tumuluru, Mujinga Mwamufiya



DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

FCIC DFO--Moisture Management and Optimization in Municipal Solid Waste Feedstock through Mechanical Processing


Jaya Shankar Tumuluru, Mujinga Mwamufiya

March 2021

**Idaho National Laboratory
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415**

<http://www.inl.gov>

**Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office
Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517**



DOE Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) 2021 Project Peer Review

FCIC DFO – Moisture Management and Optimization in Municipal Solid Waste Feedstock through Mechanical Processing

Date: 03/16/2021

Technology Area Session: FCIC

Mujinga Mwamufiya, (Task Lead), Fulcrum BioEnergy, Inc.

Presenter: Jaya Shankar Tumuluru (Task Co-Lead), INL



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

FCIC Task Organization

Feedstock

Feedstock Variability:

Develop tools that quantify & **understand the sources of biomass resource and feedstock variability**

Preprocessing

Preprocessing:

Develop tools to enable technologies that **provide well-defined and homogeneous feedstock** from variable biomass resources

Conversion

Conversion (High & Low-Temperature Pathways):

Develop tools to enable technologies that **produce homogeneous intermediates** that can be converted into market-ready products

Materials Handling:

Develop tools that enable continuous, steady, trouble free feed into reactors

Materials of Construction:

Develop tools that **specify materials** that do not corrode, wear, or break at unacceptable rates.

Enabling Tasks

Data Integration: Ensure the data generated in the FCIC are **curated and stored** – FAIR guidelines

Crosscutting Analyses TEA/LCA:

Works with other Tasks **enable valuation** and intermediate streams and quantify impact of variability.



MSW feedstock: Municipal solid waste, is commonly known as trash or garbage in the United States. MSW consists of product packaging, grass clippings, furniture, clothing, bottles, food scraps, newspapers, appliances, paint, and batteries. The organic material in the MSW which is rich in hydrogen and carbon, can be used for biofuels production.

Fulcrum Bioenergy

- Fulcrum successfully developed, and demonstrated a process of converting municipal solid waste (MSW) into low-carbon transportation fuels using clean and efficient gasification and Fischer-Tropsch process
- The process has been demonstrated and proven on an integrated basis at a scale

MSW Challenges

- a) High moisture, variable particle size, and shape and low bulk density which creates feeding, handling, storage and transportation issues and b) High temperature drying systems can result in VOCs emissions

Densification

- a) Produces a uniform commodity type product with a) definite size, shape and density b) increases the bulk density of the material by about 5-10 times c) reduce moisture content to <10 % (w.b.) and c) improves feeding, handling and storage characteristics and transportation efficiencies

Challenge

Cost of MSW preprocessing using conventional technologies followed by the industry



Objective

The overall objective of this project is to develop mechanical preprocessing technologies to manage moisture efficiently in the municipal solid waste (MSW). The specific objective is to increase MSW density and reduce moisture to meet gasifier infeed requirements (moisture of ~10%, bulk density ~ 480 kg/m³ and durability >95%) while reducing the preprocessing cost by 40% compared to conventional pelleting method.

Relevance:

- This Project addresses a real problem for Fulcrum and, if successful will be part of the design of future biorefinery feedstock processing.
- Advances the understanding of the biomass-derived feedstock properties, in support of FCIC's 90% operational reliability target.
- Furthers DOE/BETO objective of optimizing biomass feeding and handling systems in the biofuels industry.
- Moisture management and density solutions developed in this Project can be applied to other herbaceous and woody feedstocks.



Management Approach

- DOE, Merit Review in 2019
- Biweekly call with Fulcrum to discuss the project progress
- Major milestones with definite quality and cost targets and Go/No-Go decision point
- Work with INL analysis team to update the state of technology costs based on the results obtained in this project.
- Present the results in FCIC quarterly and annual meeting and DOE peer review

Critical success factors: Optimize the mechanical preprocessing technologies to produce MSW with the desired density, durability and moisture content.

Team

Fulcrum Bioenergy: Mujinga Mwamufiya, (Director, Business Development), Gregor Thomson (Technical Manager) and Sam Butler (Project Engineer)

Idaho National Laboratory: Jaya Shankar Tumuluru (Staff Engineer), Neal Yancey (Lead Engineer, BUFNUF), Damon Hartley (Lead, Analyst), Yingqian Lin (Tammy)(Analyst), Zach Smith (Research Engineer)

Risks: 1) MSW is heterogenous in nature and can behave differently compared to other biomass b) unknown effectiveness on key operational parameters (moisture reduction, system efficiency, reliability), c) MSW feedstock introduces high wear and tear in the processing equipment.

Mitigation: a) Tests are conducted by varying the grinding and pelleting process variables to understand their relationship to quality and energy consumption and b) to address some wear issues, both hammer mill and pellet mill are equipped with magnetic traps to stop metal entering the equipment.



2 – Approach

Fractional milling:

- Stage-1 grinder screen size is increased, and a separator is inserted between stage-1 & 2 grinders to bypass fraction which meets stage-2 grinder specs.
- Eliminates over grinding of biomass and results in tighter particle size distribution


High moisture pelleting:

- Biomass is pelleted at >20% (w.b.) moistures.
- Biomass loses moisture (5-10%, w.b.) during pelleting
- Eliminates energy intensive rotary drying step

Low temperature drying

- Grain or belt dryers to dry high moisture pellets
- Eliminates VOC emissions

Conventional densification challenge is cost



Advanced preprocessing technologies developed by INL

This process was tested on corn stover bales at about 25 % moisture and has reduced the pellet production cost by 60 % compared to the conventional method followed by industry.

Use novel preprocessing technologies to address MSW moisture and density challenges




Quality of corn stover pellets



- This project addresses recognized barriers such as a) variability in particle size b) moisture and c) low bulk density which affects feeding, handling, and transportation issues for thermochemical conversions, and thus the bioeconomy.
- Helps to achieve DOE vision of commoditization of the biomass at lower cost.
- Converts diverse forms of biomass into consistent, high-quality commodity products, helps to efficiently handle, store and transport the biomass to biorefineries.
- The preprocessing solutions developed in this project can be transferred to 2nd generation biorefineries, to improve operational reliability and potentially make pelleting a more cost-effective option in the United States.
- This Project addresses MYPP barriers,
 - Ft-G: Feedstock Quality & Monitoring;
 - Ft-K: Biomass Physical State Alteration,
 - Ft-L: Biomass Material Handling and Transportation
 - Ft-I: Overall integration and scale-up.

High moisture pelleting is R & D award finalist in FY-2018 & 2020





4 – Progress and Outcomes

1-inch MSW grind received from Fulcrum was conditioned to 25 and 30 % (w.b.) moisture and is used for grinding studies in a hammer mill



1/4-inch MSW

Table 1: MSW grind properties processed through the Fulcrum shredders

MSW grind size	MSW moisture (% w.b.)	Before grinding				
		D10 (mm)	D50 (mm)	D90 (mm)	Xgm (mm)	Bulk density (kg/m ³)
1-inch (25.4 mm) received from Fulcrum	30.4	1.77	13.5	27	9.37	55.5


Table 2: MSW grind properties processed through INL Hammer mill

Hammer mill	MSW moisture (% w.b.)	D10 (mm)	D50 (mm)	D90 (mm)	Xgm (mm)	Bulk density (kg/m ³)	Grinding energy (kWh/ton)
1/4inch (6.35 mm)	13.45	0.20	1.78	5.45	2.38	50.21	136.89
1/2-inch (12.7 mm) grind	30.4	0.48	2.22	18	2.29	38.4	38.4
3/4-inch (19.05 mm) grind	28	0.53	2.48	32	2.68	39.8	39.8

- Increasing the hammer mill screen size from 1/4-inch to 3/4-inch reduced the grinding energy by more than 3 times.
- The particle dimensions changed significantly after grinding in a hammer mill fitted with different screens.



High moisture pelleting studies



Pilot scale ring die pellet mill

Pelleting data analysis

Regression models and surface plots were drawn using pelleting experimental data


High moisture MSW grind produced after hammer milling is further used for pelleting studies

Table 2: Experimental design for MSW pelleting

Hammer Mill Screen Size (mm)	L/D Ratio	Grind and Pellet Properties
12.7 (1/2-in.)	9	Pellet properties (post pelleting)
12.7 (1/2-in.)	10	
12.7 (1/2-in.)	11	
12.7 (1/2-in.)	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pellet moisture content (% w.b.) • Unit, bulk, and tapped density (kg/m³) • Durability (%)
19.05 (3/4-in.)	9	
19.05 (3/4-in.)	10	
19.05 (3/4-in.)	11	Pellet properties (post drying)
19.05 (3/4-in.)	12	
19.05 (3/4-in.)	12	



High moisture pelleting studies




- Both grinding and pelleting impacted the moisture loss in the MSW feedstock
- Average moisture loss was 11-13% (w.b.) during grinding and pelleting.
- A maximum moisture loss of 18 % was observed during grinding and pelleting

Moisture Loss During Grinding And Pelleting




High moisture pelleting studies



MSW pellets coming out of the pellet die



MSW pellets made at about 25 % (w.b.) moisture content using 1/2 - inch hammer mill grind.




(a) Heavier Plastic Getting Trapped Inside and (b) Lighter Plastic Forming A Coat On The Pellet.

The temperature generated during pelleting helps plastic to melt and diffuse to surface.



Table 3: MSW Pellet Bulk Density

Process conditions			Post pelleting	Post drying
Hammer mill screen size (inch)	L/D ratio	MSW grind moisture (% w.b.)	Pellet bulk density (kg/m ³)	Pellet bulk density (kg/m ³)
19.05	9	20.31	495.28	470.63
19.05	10	24.51	544.25	492.99
19.05	11	27	502.41	430.9
12.7	9	21.28	528.43	477.03
12.7	10	23.43	560.67	523
12.7	11	24.86	492.91	480.69
12.7	12	23.55	597.78	546.74



Bulk density surface plot for 1/2-inch grind

Surface plots


L/D ratio 9 and MSW moisture of 30% reduced the final bulk density to < 432 kg/m³
 L/D ratio of 12 and MSW moisture of about 20% increased the bulk density to >600 kg/m³



Table 4: MSW Pellet Durability

Process conditions			Post pelleting	Post drying
Hammer mill screen size (mm)	L/D ratio	MSW grind moisture (% w.b.)	Green durability (%)	Cured durability (%)
19.05	9	20.31	94.15	96.23
19.05	10	24.51	95.78	96.22
19.05	11	27	96.55	97.41
12.7	9	21.28	96.1	96.46
12.7	10	23.43	94.15	95.56
12.7	11	24.86	94.14	94.61
12.7	12	23.55	98.33	98.85

Met Go/No-Go pellet quality targets: Bulk density of about 30 lb/ft³ (480 kg/m³) and durability >95%



Surface plot for 1/2-inch grind

Surface plots


- L/D ratio of 12 increased the durability values >99%
- 30% MSW moisture and L/D ratio of 9 lowered the durability values to <93%



Pelleting energy consumption

Table 5: MSW Pelleting energy consumption

Process conditions				
Hammer mill screen size (inch)	L/D ratio	MSW grind moisture before pelleting (% w.b.)	Total Pelleting energy (kWh/ton)	Net pelleting energy (kWh/ton)
19.05	9	20.31	90.03	61.45
19.05	10	24.51	83.57	54.58
19.05	10	28.07	113.46	80.47
12.7	9	21.28	89.12	57.56
12.7	10	23.43	114.4	78.51
12.7	11	24.86	104.43	70.49
12.7	12	23.55	136.19	99.12



Surface plot for net pelleting energy for 1/2-inch grind MSW

Surface plots


Pelleting energy consumption reduced as L/D ratio and MSA moisture content got lower.

Pelleting energy consumption increased when (a) L/D ratios stayed low but moisture increased, or (b) L/D ratios increased and moisture increased


Samples of pellets produced in the project will be provided to Fulcrum to include in their storage bin design



MSW Pelleting Using Conventional Method Followed By The Industry (Scenario 1)




Sequence Of Preprocessing Unit Operations For High Moisture Pelleting (Scenario 2)



Cost calculated

- Cost of each unit operation
- Transportation cost
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- INL Biomass Logistics Model used for cost calculation
- GREET model used for greenhouse gas emissions





- Rotary drying is the major energy consumer in the conventional pellet production process (about 1/3 of the total pellet production cost)
- At 24 % MSW moisture HMPP is 46.12 % lower cost than conventional pelleting
- At 30% MSW moisture HMPP is 39.82 % lower cost than conventional pelleting


We expect more cost saving when fractional milling is tested in this year

- The other benefits of using pellets in terms of storage, transportation, feeding, handling and conversion performance improvements need to be quantified in term of \$/ton

HMPP: High moisture pelleting process



Transportation Cost for Compacted MSW, and Pelleted MSW for different transportation scenarios




Final bulk density of MSW has a significant impact on transportation cost

For transportation distances of more than 50 miles pelleting helps to reduce the transportation cost by about 50 %

	15	50	100	200
— Pelleted MSW (10% w.b., 500 kg/m ³)	\$2.07	\$4.85	\$8.87	\$16.93
— Compacted MSW (30% w.b., 150 kg/m ³)	\$3.66	\$8.74	\$16.05	\$30.63



Greenhouse gas emissions



- For conventional pelleting of MSW at 30 % moisture the total GHG emissions are about 285.81 kg CO2 equivalent/dry ton.
- For high moisture pelleting of MSW at 30% initial moisture, GHG emissions are 155.00 kg CO2 equivalent/dry ton.

Using bigger screen size in stage-2 grinder and low temperature dryer for drying pellets has a significant impact on GHG emissions

The CO2 emission is about 46% lower for high moisture pelleting compared to conventional pelleting.

GHG emission comparison of conventional pelleting and high moisture pelleting



Management:

1) DOE, Merit Review in 2019 2) Biweekly call with Fulcrum to discuss the project progress, 3) project milestones achieved, 4) major milestones with definite quality and cost targets 5) Go/No-Go milestone, 6) work with INL analysis team to update the state of technology costs based on the results obtained in this project 7) FCIC quarterly and annual meeting updates and 8) DOE peer review,

Technical Approach:

Advanced preprocessing technologies such as fractional milling, high moisture pelleting and low temperature drying developed by INL will be used to meet the cost and quality targets established in the project.

Impact: This Project will enable INL and FCIC to understand how the physical properties and variable chemical composition of MSW-derived feedstock impact (i) moisture reduction, (ii) quality of densified products, and (iii) energy consumption of the preprocessing systems developed by INL

Progress:

This task has delivered all the major milestones, go-no-go milestone and technical achievement to date.

Future work

- Completing high moisture pelleting on commercial scale pellet mil.
- Fractional milling studies on MSW
- Integrated demonstration
- TEA analysis based on the integrated demonstration



Quad Chart Overview

Timeline

- 10/01/2019 – 09/30/2021

	FY20 Costed	Total Award
DOE Funding	\$206,943	\$1,018,000
Project Cost Share	\$25,053.54	\$440,000

Project Partners*

- Fulcrum Bioenergy Inc.

Project Goal

The objective of this project is to efficiently manage the material properties and improve the handleability of MSW-derived feedstock using the fractional milling, high moisture pelleting, and low temperature drying preprocessing technologies developed by INL.

The goal of the project is to produce a densified product with a bulk density of about 480kg/m³, durability of >95% and moisture content <10% (w.b.) at a 40% reduced preprocessing cost compared to current baseline cost (the base line cost for preprocessing MSW will be established in the project).

End of Project Milestone


Integrated demonstration of fractional milling, high moisture pelleting and low temperature drying to lower municipal solid waste moisture content to ≤ 10% (w.b.) from an initial moisture content of 30% (w.b.) and achieve feedstock cost and quality targets. Develop a cost estimate for installation of INL fractional milling, high moisture pelleting and low-temperature drying process to meet Fulcrum's commercial processing requirements.

Funding Mechanism

FCIC-DFO



*Only fill out if applicable.



Thank you
energy.gov/fcic

