

Radiation testing of AM materials

May 2024

Andrea M Jokisaari, TS Byun, Weiying Chen, Yiren Chen, Drew Coulson Johnson, Kory Linton, Caleb Massey, Christian Petrie, Rongjie Song





DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Radiation testing of AM materials

Andrea M Jokisaari, TS Byun, Weiying Chen, Yiren Chen, Drew Coulson Johnson, Kory Linton, Caleb Massey, Christian Petrie, Rongjie Song

May 2024

Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

http://www.inl.gov

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under DOE Idaho Operations Office Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517




Radiation testing of AM materials

Andrea Jokisaari, TS Byun, Weiying Chen, Yiren Chen, Drew Johnson, Annabelle Le Coq, Kory Linton, Caleb Massey, Christian Petrie, Rongjie Song, Stephen Taller

AMMT program motivation for irradiated AM materials properties

- AM is a new enabling technology
- New fabrication method means there is a large need for new data
- AMMT will provide new, valuable data for irradiation behavior of materials with engineering importance for nuclear reactors




Using the right tool for the job

 AMMT can help provide expertise on selecting the right tool for the job

 High level conceptualization of radiation testing for design achievement

 Timelines to obtain data (accelerated vs prototypical)




Data needs

PIE needs

Radiation type and facility


Using ion irradiations for screening and regulatory purposes


- Many advanced reactor designs aim for 100+ dpa
 - Materials test reactors will provide ~1-10 dpa/year
- Ion irradiations paired with modeling can:
 - Provide rapid screening of materials evolution
 - Link to prototypical neutron irradiation conditions
- AMMT is working on a framework to promote the regulatory acceptance of combined neutron irradiations, ion irradiations, and modeling





Providing data and science to aid with NRC licensing

- A new fabrication method means a lot of data and understanding process-structure-processingperformance is needed to support licensing applications to the NRC
- Looking for equivalencies or major differences from conventionally made materials
 - Impact of microstructure
 - Build variability
 - Post-build heat treatments










Sampling Location


1.5mm plate layer5mm block surface layer5mm block center layer40mm cube 10mm from surface40mm cube center layer40mm cube surface layer



AMMT program strategy for irradiated AM materials properties


- Consistent test plan of to deliver data and science
- AMMT is also focusing on how to obtain high-dpa accelerated testing information and promoting regulatory acceptance of combined ion/neutron testing data
- Looking for industry input on parameter spaces of interest






Neutron irradiation test plan development for AM316H

- Two-level testing strategy
 - HFIR@ORNL will provide baseline engineering information to higher doses
 - ATR@INL will provide novel advanced PIE for new reactor technologies
 - Leveraging standard capsule designs to make neutron irradiations faster and cheaper
- Looking for industry input on parameter spaces of interest



P. A. Champlin et al., ORNL/TM-2019/1310, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 2019.



Current irradiation capabilities summary

- Mechanical specimens
 - INL (ISHA):
 - SSJ tensile bars (16mm x 4mm x 0.5–1mm) max 48 specimens per capsule
 - Round compact-tension max 13 specimens per capsule
 - Bend bars max 24 specimens per capsule
 - TEM specimens (3mm diameter)
 - ORNL (Rabbit):
 - SSJ tensile bars (16mm x 4mm x .5mm-0.75mm) max 36 specimens per capsule
 - Bend Bars (14.8 mm x 3 mm x 4.5 mm) 6 specimens per capsule
 - · Pressurized tubes
- Irradiation temperature: 200 1200°C
- Post-irradiation examination (PIE)
 - Elevated testing up to 800°C
 - Uniaxial tensile testing
 - Microstructural characterization
 - Hardness testing
 - Fracture toughness
 - 3- and 4-point bend





HFEF hot cell



INL Future Rad Mechanical Testing

- SPL facility opening start of FY26
- Max testing temperature 1200°C
- Creep testing
- Creep crack growth
- Fatigue
- Automated Charpy impact testing
- Additional capacity for tensile compression testing
- Nanoindentation and micromechanical testing









Delivering data and insights for AM316L and AM718

| Ire | FY | Capsule ID | Irr. Temp. (°C) Target/Measured | Dose (dpa) | Specimen/alloy |
|---------------------------|------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Initial Microstructu | 2020 | GTCR01 | 300/250 | 0.2 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| | | GTCR02 | 300/376 | 2 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| | | GTCR03 | 300/277 | 10 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| | | GTCR04 | 600/673 | 0.2 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| | | GTCR05 | 600/600 | 2 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| | | GTCR06 | 600/550 | 10 | 36 SS-J2 (AM 316L in as-built, stress-relieved, and solution-annealed conditions and WT 316L) |
| Sampling Location | | GTCR07 | 300/292 | 2 | 24 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, sampling from six build locations of 1.5 mm and 5 mm thick plates and 40 mm cube) |
| | | GTCR08 | 600/494 | 2 | 24 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, sampling from six build locations of 1.5 mm and 5 mm thick plates and 40 mm cube) |
| | | GTCR09 | 300/269 | 10 | 24 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, sampling from six build locations of 1.5 mm and 5 mm thick plates and 40 mm cube) |
| | 2021 | GTCR10 | 600/511 | 10 | 24 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, sampling from six build locations of 1.5 mm and 5 mm thick plates and 40 mm cube) |
| Initial Microstructure | 2022 | GTCR11 | 300/305 | 2 | 24 SS-J2 (WT IN 718 in standard, one solution-annealed, and two age-hardened conditions, AM IN 718) and 8 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, six build locations) |
| | | GTCR12 | 600/553 | 2 | 24 SS-J2 (WT IN 718 in standard, one solution-annealed, and two age-hardened conditions, AM IN 718) and 8 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, six build locations) |
| | | GTCR13 | 300/318 | 10 | 24 SS-J2 (WT IN 718 in standard, one solution-annealed, and two age-hardened conditions, AM IN 718) and 8 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, six build locations) |
| | | GTCR14 | 600/578 | 10 | 24 SS-J2 (WT IN 718 in standard, one solution-annealed, and two age-hardened conditions, AM IN 718) and 8 SS-J3 (AM 316L in stress-relieved condition, six build locations) |

Case study: delivering data and insights for AM316L





- Test strain rate of 5 × 10⁻⁴ s-1 (displacement rate = 0.15 mm/min)
- Strength increased and ductility decreased with irradiation dose
- All specimens showed prompt necking at yield at 10 dpa
- Effect of AM build thickness and location is not evident



Office of ENERGY NUCLEAR ENERGY