



Molten Salt Sampling and Analysis

November 2023

Changing the World's Energy Future

Janine N Lambert, Robert O Hoover, Toni Y Karlsson, Ammon N Williams



INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory operated by Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC

DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Molten Salt Sampling and Analysis

Janine N Lambert, Robert O Hoover, Toni Y Karlsson, Ammon N Williams

November 2023

**Idaho National Laboratory
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415**

<http://www.inl.gov>

**Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office
Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517**

MPACT Annual Review Meeting
June 27, 2022

Presented by Janine Lambert

Molten Salt Sampling and Analysis

Joint Project with MPACT & MRWFD in collaboration with
INL & ANL

Battelle Energy Alliance manages INL for the
U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy



Idaho National Laboratory

Introduction

- **Scope:** MPACT and MRWFD have agreed to a joint activity to document the state of science for molten salt sampling and identify next steps with respect to addressing known shortcomings for both process control and NMAC purposes
- **Objectives:**
 - Document the current status of molten salt sampling techniques, results, & issues
 - Identify next steps to address deficiencies for process control and NMAC purposes
- **Deliverables:** M4FT-23IN040103112-Year-end report summarizing INL activities in support of molten salt sampling and analysis

Quick History of Molten Salt Sampling

- Work with surface sampling using wipe filters
 - Sampling using spatula/spoon
 - Sampling using steel bar for solidification then flaking
 - Sampling devices developed
-
- More information in ANL's presentation on their salt sampler

Current Status of Molten Salt Sampling Techniques

- Skim sampling – rarely used
- Rod/dip sampling – currently used
- Dip sampling with a dip cup tool or Pipet –rarely used
- Suction Fine collector – no longer used
- Filtered sampling tubes – currently used in suction version
- Valve Core sampling – currently used in updated version
- Multi Level Sampler (MLS) – currently used

Skimming Technique

- Sample collected from the top surface of the salt
- Known surface area
- Design relies on salt freezing to the sampling device
- Easy construction, reusable
- Helps to understand the top layer that can form ('crud'), used in 2019 JFCS ER
- Con: it is sensitive to elevation
- When used with a temperature sensor, the response was too delayed to function



Rod Dip Samples


- Commonly used, easy for collection
- Stainless steel rod
 - Length sized to target a desired sampling depth
- Dipped into the salt bath and quickly removed
- Frozen salt on the rod is scraped off to collect a sample (0.25 - 0.75 g per sample)
- Different mixing & settling procedures before sampling have been explored
- Reusable – with a delay to allow for the rod to cool
- One dip per sample




Sample from an Oxide Reduction salt



Example of a dip rod




OR Salt



ER Salt


Rod dip Issues

- The dip must be quick as otherwise, the rod becomes too hot and the salt melts off and back into the vessel
- Sampling is limited due to lack to homogeneity throughout the material
- Reproducibility is an issue and depth can vary



Dip Cup samples – U/TRU Pre-Distillation Cadmium Products

- A hollow rod/cylinder with a small cup at the bottom was inserted into the molten cadmium in the ER
 - When the dip tool is removed, the small cup with cadmium inside is cut off and put in a sample container.
 - After the sample is removed, the rods are inspected for any remaining cadmium. If some is found, the rods are put back into the cadmium such that the remaining cadmium can drip back into the ER.
- This is commonly used, easy to operate and made from off-the-shelf parts
- Sometimes adapted slightly and called pipet method
 - A cylinder to draw up salt into sacrificial tube



Dip cup/pipet - Issues

- Difficult to reproduce
- Depth can vary
- If using pipet or similar device, it is non reusable
- Requires operators to measure depths each time

Suction Fine Collector

- Collects fines along with salt by suction
 - Captured in a sacrificial tube which was then removed and sent for analysis
 - Provided qualitative data on the insoluble material at the bottom of the salt
 - Made from off-the-shelf parts
-
- Con: Samples are not quantitative
 - Does not work with large particles
 - Does not work with thick/dense slurries



Fines sample taken using a quartz tube. The area to the left is the part touching the bottom of the crucible.

Filtered Sampling Approach

- Tantalum frit segments are attached to SS tubes
- Assemblies lowered into the salt vessel
- Salt and/or cadmium is drawn into the tube assembly through the frit using a slight vacuum applied to the tube
- Allows for a filtered sample
- Solids present in the salt or cadmium volume taken into the tube assembly will be trapped on or in the filter frit thus allowing for solids analysis



Tantalum filter tube for salt and cadmium sampling.



Tantalum filter frit.

Filtered Sampling Tubes (Continued)

Salt and cadmium filter assemblies and filter sampler



Filtered sampling tubes assemblies. Three salt sampling tubes are shown above and three cadmium sampling tubes below.

Filtered Samples Pros and Cons

- Helps to avoid contamination of large particles which cause variability in samples
- Varying success depending on frit porosity
 - With finer frits, salt cannot flow through the sampler
 - With coarser frits, salt was able to flow but would quickly flow back out upon removal from bulk salt


Vacuum assisted salt sampling

- Update to the previous filtration method
 - Pulling a small amount of vacuum to pull the salt sample through the frit
 - The quartz tube is then broken to retrieve the sample
 - Tried two methods:
- Rubber pipette bulb on top of sampler tube - Squeezed then released to create pressure difference
- Small piston pump on top of sampler tube - Tube is lowered into salt then the piston is extended which lowers the internal pressure of the assembly



Vacuum assisted salt sampling Pros and Cons

- Large amount of variability with pipette
 - Difficult to reliably seal the pipette to the tube
 - Leakage causing no salt to be pulled into sampler
- A piston vacuum assembly was successfully developed
 - Reliably and repeatably could pull salt through even the finest and stacked frits
 - Slight mod for manipulators in a hot cell



Core Sampler

- After the sampler has thermally equilibrated, the inner tube is forced down upon the end-cap and fit by an interference fit within the inner tube.



New design core sampler (Version 2)



Core sampler swage fixture for new design core sampler.

Core Sampler



Outer and inner core sampler tubes with interference fit end cap.



New design core sampler inner and outer tubes with end-cap.

Core Sampler



- The second and third core samples were successfully taken from the MK-IV ER during October of 2004.
 - Samples were taken at a temperature of 500°C under an argon gas atmosphere.
 - Once the samples had been taken, the sampler tubes were disconnected from the sampler apparatus and the upper end of the tube was cut in order to decrease the length of the sample tube.



Inner sample tube within outer sample tube.

Core Sampler – turn knob version

- A tube containing a valve that opens while lowering and closes before removal
- The frozen sample is then cut into sections for analysis



Core Sampler Results and Issues

- First version failed
 - possibly due to a combination of a faulty design and/or solid material caught between the end cap and the sampler tube
- Handling with mechanical manipulators was rough and more rigid than in primary tests
- Density of salt caused multiple failures when removing the sample tube from the ER
 - Sample did not remain in the tube
- The turn knob version was mostly successful though some drops were lost

Multi Level Sampler (MLS)

- Composed of an inner rod with openings (sampling ports) at three heights (1, 3, and 5 inches from the bottom) and an outer tube with openings on one side
- When submerged it is initially closed so not salt can enter the chamber
- It is then opened by turning the inner tube 90 degrees to expose the sampling ports then turned back to close them before removal
- It saves time by collecting multiple samples at the same time
- Since the same heights are used, it reduces depth measurement errors
- It is easy to operate remotely and is mostly reusable

- Con: Can be difficult to remove samples if salt gets stuck between the two cylinders

MLS continued



Version of the MLS with chambered open end. Issues with salt buildup so future version will have smoother surface and better tolerance for the entire length of the inner rod and outer tube

MLS Results and Issues

- In preliminary tests it was shown to be reusable and retrieval of samples was simple
- However, in the ER it seized up on the second use and samples could not be retrieved
- Several modifications occurred but, in the end, handling it remotely was too complex and the sample was too hard to retrieve.

General issues

- To stir or not to stir?
- Wait time for lab analysis or radiography
- Salt stratification due to varying densities
- Lack of homogeneity due to solid phase formation, stratification, sedimentation and/or suspension
- A top layer on the salt can form

Requirements for a salt sampling device

- Effective in collecting samples throughout the container ie. Bottom middle and top, without disturbing the salt
- Should fit through a port or opening
- Made of materials that are resistant to corrosion and suitable for high temperature, remote handling
- Samples should be easy to remove from the device and weigh around 1-2g (should also fit in a standard sample holder)
- If a sacrificial portion exists on the device, it must not contaminate the salt (for example: stainless steel, tantalum, or tungsten)

Focus for the remainder of FY23

- Collaborate with ANL to finish the literature review/data gathering
- Finish compiling salt sampling approaches and look at the analysis results where available.
- Write a report detailing findings and an assessment of current salt sampling and analysis techniques

Future Work: FY24 and Beyond

- Address Deficiencies for Process Control and NMAC Purposes
 - Determine best way to collect samples from tubes
 - Minimize contaminants
 - Testing with surrogate insoluble impurities of known particle size



Idaho National Laboratory

Battelle Energy Alliance manages INL for the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy. INL is the nation's center for nuclear energy research and development, and also performs research in each of DOE's strategic goal areas: energy, national security, science and the environment.

WWW.INL.GOV